

Sentencing Council meeting: 23

Paper number:

23 September 2022

SC(22)SEP05- Blackmail, kidnap, false imprisonment and threats to disclose

private sexual images

Lead Council member: Juliet May
Lead official: Mandy Banks

Mandy.Banks@sentencingcouncil.gov.uk

0207 071 5785

#### 1 ISSUE

1.1 This is the third meeting to discuss the offences and will focus on draft guidelines for kidnap and false imprisonment offences. The draft blackmail guideline has also been revised following the discussion at the last meeting.

#### 2 RECOMMENDATION

- 2.1 At today's meeting the Council are asked:
  - To consider the draft kidnap and false imprisonment guidelines
  - To consider the changes made to the draft blackmail guideline

#### 3 CONSIDERATION

# Blackmail

3.1 The changes that were discussed at the last meeting have been made and can be seen in track changes within the draft guideline at **Annex A.** The Council also asked at the last meeting for the proposed sentence ranges to be looked at again, as it was thought they were too low. During the discussion the Council stated that for this guideline the Council should depart from the usual practice of seeking to replicate current sentencing practice. This is because existing case law doesn't reflect recent trends of increasing seriousness of offending, and the changing nature of offending. The ranges have been looked at again and as a result ranges A1, B1, A2, B2, A3 and C1 have been slightly increased, as shown below. The range in A1 is now proposed as 4-12 years with a starting point of 8 years. This allows for a small amount of headroom to the statutory maximum of 14 years.

As discussed at the last meeting increasing the sentence ranges would likely increase the severity of sentencing for this offence. The mean average custodial sentence length (ACSL) in 2020 was three years eight months (estimated pre-guilty plea) and two years ten months (post-guilty plea). Tab 5.4a of **Annex B** also shows us that 90 per cent of offenders sentenced to immediate custody received an estimated pre-guilty plea custodial sentence of

six years or less. Only two offenders received a post-guilty plea sentence over 10 years' custody within the last five years, with the longest determinate sentence in 2020 of 12 years.

Harm		Culpability	
	Α	В	С
Category 1	Starting Point 7.8 years' custody	Starting Point 4 years' custody	Starting Point 2 years' custody
	Category Range 4 — 12  years' custody	Category Range 2 -6_8 years' custody	Category Range 1 -4- <u>5</u> years' custody
Category 2	Starting Point 4 years' custody	Starting Point 2 years' custody	Starting Point 1 years' custody
	Category Range	Category Range	Category Range
	2 -6 <u>8</u> years' custody	1 -4- <u>5</u> years' custody	6 months'- 2 years' custody
Category 3	Starting Point 2 years' custody	Starting Point 1 years' custody	Starting Point 6 months' custody
	Category Range	Category Range	Category Range
	1 -4 <u>5</u> years' custody	6 months' - 2 years' custody	High level Community order – 1 years' custody

Although volumes of this offence are small there could still be an impact on prison and probation resources as a result.

# Question 1: What is the Council's view of the revised draft sentence ranges?

# Kidnap and false imprisonment offences

- 3.2 There are currently no guidelines for these offences. False imprisonment consists of the unlawful and intentional or reckless restraint of a victim's freedom of movement from a particular place. It is unlawful detention which stops the victim from moving away as he or she would wish to move. This can be in a prison, a house or even forcibly detaining a person in the street.
- 3.3 Kidnap offences are comprised of four elements:
  - A) The taking or carrying away of one person by another;

- B) By force (or the threat of force, as in the hijacking of a car with its driver): or fraud;
- C) Without the consent of the person so taken or carried away; and
- D) Without lawful excuse.
- 3.4 There must be some deprivation of the liberty of the person taken or carried away (so cannot be committed by a person who by fraudulent means induced another to go unaccompanied from one place to another). Both kidnap and false imprisonment are common law offences, with a statutory maximum of life imprisonment, and indictable only.
- 3.5 Both are specified violent offences listed in the Sentencing Act 2020 Sch.18, Pt 1 (meaning that an extended sentence can be imposed if the offender is 'dangerous' and is listed in Sch.19 (meaning that a life sentence can be imposed if the offender is 'dangerous'). They are both also listed in Schedule 5 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003 meaning that a SHPO is potentially available on conviction. The statutory aggravating factor of an offence committed against an emergency worker acting in the exercise of functions as such a worker applies to kidnap.
- 3.6 Volumes of kidnap offences sentenced each year are low, 69 in 2020 and 98 in 2019, as can be seen on tab 1.1 of **Annex B.** The vast majority of offenders are sentenced to immediate custody (74 per cent in 2020) with 7 per cent given a suspended sentence. In 2020, the mean ACSL estimated pre-guilty plea was 7 years 3 months, with a mean ACSL post guilty plea of 5 years 9 months.
- 3.7 Volumes of false imprisonment offences are also low, 82 in 2020 and 113 in 2019. Around 85 per cent of offenders were sentenced to immediate custody in 2020, with 6 per cent given a suspended sentence. In 2020, the mean ACSL estimated pre-guilty plea was 4 years 8 months, with a mean ACSL post guilty plea of 3 years 7 months.
- 3.8 The case of *R v Gibney (AG's reference no 92 of 2014)* gave general guidance on sentencing and said that close analysis of the facts and circumstances was required. Relevant factors included:
  - the length and circumstances of the detention, including the location and any method of restraint,
  - the extent of any violence used,
  - the involvement of weapons,
  - whether demands were made of others,
  - the effect on the victim and others,
  - the extent of planning,
  - the number of offenders involved.
  - the use of torture or humiliation,
  - whether what was done arose from, or was in furtherance of, previous criminal

- behaviour, and
- any particular vulnerability of the victim (by reason of age or otherwise).
- 3.9 In AG's Ref (nos 102 and 103 of 2014) (R V Perkins) it was said that cases involving hostage taking and ransom demands will attract a starting point of close to 16 years for an adult: others, where such behaviour is absent, will still attract double figures, regardless of the degree of violence.
- 3.10 In *Jones* [2020] EWCA Crim 1870 the court held that any kidnapping incident in which individuals or groups embark on vigilante action is a matter of grave concern and they should anticipate deterrent punishment; long custodial sentences are required in almost every case. The court, having reviewed the authorities, attempted to identify an open list of features that may be relevant to the sentencing of kidnap, namely:
  - the degree of preparation and planning;
  - the number of offenders working as a group;
  - involvement of weapons;
  - the infliction of actual or serious injury;
  - the presence of torture or threat thereof;
  - the degree of fear engendered in the victim;
  - the offender's motivation for what was done:
  - the specific role the offender played;
  - whether there was any provocation;
  - whether demands for a ransom were made;
  - how the initial capture was effected and how long the false imprisonment extended;
  - the conditions under which the captive was held; and
  - any particularly personal vulnerabilities of the victim as well as the antecedent history of offenders.
- 3.11 The offences of kidnap and false imprisonment seem to be similar in nature and are quite interlinked, so much so that it is proposed that we have one guideline for both offences. However, there would be two separate sentence tables, one for kidnap and one for false imprisonment. This is because current sentencing practice shows that kidnap offences are sentenced more severely than false imprisonment offences, so the risk with one sentence table for both offences is that sentencing severity could increase for false imprisonment. The alternative would be to have two separate guidelines, but with near identical factors in. An advantage of having two separate guidelines is that there could be no confusion of using the wrong sentence table, as each guideline would have its own sentence table, unlike one

guideline for both offences with two different sentence tables in.

3.12 The draft guideline for both offences is attached at **Annex C** and reflects the factors set out in *Gibney* and *Jones*. Some of the factors can be seen within culpability, some in harm, and some appear as aggravating factors. Sentencing transcripts of around 60 cases have also been examined to assist in the development of the draft guideline.

# Question 2: In principle, does the Council agree with the idea of one guideline for both offences with two separate sentence tables? Or would the Council prefer to have two separate guidelines?

Starting with the culpability factors on page two, the proposed draft high culpability factors are: 'detention over a substantial period of time,' 'sophisticated and/or planned nature of conduct', 'offence was committed as part of a group', 'deliberate targeting of particularly vulnerable victim' and 'use of violence and/or use of a weapon'. These factors are designed to capture only the most serious cases. It is a balance to design factors which capture the most serious types of offending but without having too many factors which mean too many cases will fall into this category. The factor of 'offence was committed as part of a group' is often an aggravating factor but for this offence it is argued that it should be a high culpability factor. Cases often refer to offences committed by a group as being more serious- the involvement of a number of offenders making the experience all the more terrifying for the victim. It is also suggested that there should be a factor in high culpability relating to a vulnerable victim, whether that is due to age, pregnancy or some other vulnerability that the offender is exploiting in furtherance of the crime.

# Question 3: Are the Council content with the proposed high culpability factors?

3.13 The medium culpability factors include 'threat of violence to victim and/or others' and the 'balancing' wording which is often used in guidelines. The lower culpability factors are standard ones used within guidelines.

# Question 4: Are the Council content with the proposed medium and lower culpability factors? Are there any other offence specific factors that should be included?

3.14 The proposed harm factors are designed to try and capture the different types of harm that can be caused to victims of this offending, separated out into three categories.

The harm caused can vary considerably, so the proposed factors try to reflect this, without too bluntly 'ranking' the gradations of harm.

# Question 5: Are the Council content with the proposed harm factors?

3.15 Turning now to the sentence tables, as stated earlier- it is proposed to have two sentence tables, one for kidnap, and one for false imprisonment, due to the differences in sentencing levels between the two offences. Starting with kidnap, the proposed sentence table is on page 3. Current sentencing data for this offence can be seen on tabs 1.1-1.4b of **Annex B.** As noted earlier, the mean ACSL (estimated pre-guilty plea) is 7 years 3 months. As only a handful of offenders get a fine or community order each year (less than 5 per cent), it is proposed not to have any non-custodial options within the table. The large majority of sentences have been 10 years or less in recent times (88 per cent in 2020, post-guilty plea). The longest determinate sentence ranges from 12 to 21 years in recent years-in 2020 the longest sentence imposed was 13 years (post-guilty plea). The proposed top of the range in A1 is 16 years. Thinking about proportionality with other offences that are comparable (to some extent), the top of the range in robbery in a dwelling is 16 years, in aggravated burglary it is 13 years, for GBH (s.20) it is 16 years and for rape it is 19 years.

# Question 6: What are the Council's views on the draft sentence ranges for kidnap?

3.16 The sentence table for false imprisonment can be seen on page 4. Sentencing data can be seen on tabs 2.1-2.4b of **Annex B**. The mean ACSL (estimated pre-guilty plea) is 3 years 8 months. Again there is no non-custodial option within the table as only a handful of offenders receive a fine or community order each year (less than 5 per cent in recent years). The large majority of sentences have been 8 years or less in recent times (93% in 2020). The longest sentence in 2020 was 14 years (post-guilty plea). The proposed top of the range is 13 years. Maximum sentence lengths for other broadly comparable offences are noted in the paragraph above.

# Question 7: What are the Council's views on the draft ranges for false imprisonment?

3.17 The draft aggravating factors can be seen on page 5. The proposed offence specific ones are: 'offence committed in context of/in connection with other criminal activity' and 'detention in an isolated location'. The latter is proposed as arguably it is worse to be held in an isolated location with little chance of being able to attract attention to call for help- the victim is entirely dependent on the offender freeing them.

# Question 8: What are the Council's views on the aggravating factors?

3.18 A number of offences occur within a domestic context- so it is important to have the aggravating factor of: 'offence committed in a domestic context' as the factor links to the

domestic abuse guideline. Spence and Thomas (1983) 5 Cr.App.R.(S) 413 CA first gave general guidance on kidnapping and false imprisonment and discussed the scale of offending, stating that cases arising out of family tiffs or lovers' disputes would seldom require more than 18 months custody. Gibney later stated that Spence and Thomas no longer provided guidance for cases at the higher end of the scale, but in Abbas [2017] EWCA Crim 2015 the court said that Spence still provides useful guidance as to the spectrum of offending, and that offences arising from 'family tiffs or lovers disputes' will be likely to fall at the lower end of the scale.

3.19 Reading a number of sentencing remarks of cases that have a domestic context they seem to reflect this guidance, with sentences often lower than cases that don't have a domestic context. This raises a question as to whether the sentences are reflecting the guidance in the domestic abuse guideline, which states that 'the domestic context of the offending behaviour makes the offending more serious because it represents a violation of the trust and security that normally exists between people in an intimate or family relationship' and 'domestic abuse offences are regarded as particularly serious within the criminal justice system'. If the Council feels that for this guideline greater prominence should be given to the guidance on domestic abuse, the domestic abuse guideline could be signposted at the start of the guideline – with wording such as 'where the offence is committed in a domestic context, also refer to Overarching principles- domestic abuse'.

Some other guidelines have this wording, such as the arson/criminal damage with intent to endanger life or reckless as to whether life endangered guideline. Having the wording at the start of the guideline rather than hidden within the aggravating factors at step two might give it greater prominence.

# Question 9: Does the Council wish to give the guidance on domestic abuse greater prominence within this guideline?

3.20 The proposed mitigating factors are standard ones used within guidelines- there did not seem to be any offence specific ones required.

Question 10: Is the Council content with the proposed mitigating factors? Are there any offence specific ones that should be included?

# 4 **EQUALITIES**

4.1 As part of the development of these guidelines, the available equalities data will be examined for any disparities within the sentencing of these offences. This data will be presented to Council at a future meeting.

# 5 IMPACT AND RISKS

5.1 It is anticipated that the development of these new guidelines will be welcomed by stakeholders. Blackmail, kidnap and false imprisonment are some of the few remaining serious offences without a guideline, so producing a guideline ends that gap.

# Annex A

# **Blackmail**

Theft Act 1968 (section 21)

**Triable only on indictment** 

Maximum: 14 years' custody

Offence range: x - xx years' custody

Guideline users should be aware that the <u>Equal Treatment Bench Book</u> covers important aspects of fair treatment and disparity of outcomes for different groups in the criminal justice system. It provides guidance which sentencers are encouraged to take into account wherever applicable, to ensure that there is fairness for all involved in court proceedings.

# STEP ONE

# **Determining the offence category**

The court should determine the offence category with reference only to the factors in the table below. In order to determine the category the court should assess **culpability** and **harm.** 

The level of **culpability** is determined by weighing up all the factors of the case. Where there are characteristics present which fall under different levels of culpability the court should balance these characteristics to reach a fair assessment of the offender's culpability.

Demonstrated by one o	CULPABILITY r more of the following:
A- High Culpability	<ul> <li>Conduct repeated or prolonged over a substantial sustained period of time</li> <li>Sophisticated and/or planned nature of conduct</li> <li>Deliberate targeting of particularly vulnerable victim and/or their family</li> <li>Use of violence</li> </ul>
B- Medium culpability	<ul> <li>Violence threatened</li> <li>Other cases that fall between categories A and C because:         <ul> <li>Factors are present in A and C which balance each other out and/or</li> <li>The offender's culpability falls between the factors described in A and C</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
C- Lower culpability	<ul> <li>Unplanned and/or limited in scope and duration</li> <li>Involved through coercion, intimidation or exploitation</li> <li>Offender's responsibility substantially reduced by mental disorder or learning disability</li> </ul>

	HARM								
The level of harm is assessed by weighing up all the factors in the case.									
Category 1	Serious distress and or psychological harm caused to the victim and/or others     Serious distress caused to others     Very large amount of money obtained     Serious consequential financial impact of the offence     Property demanded or obtained is of substantial value (financial or otherwise) regardless of monetary worth to the victim and/or others     Widespread public impact of the offence								
Category 2	Some distress <u>and/or psychological harm</u> caused to the victim <u>and/or others</u> Some distress caused to others								

	<ul> <li>Some consequential financial impact of the offence</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Considerable amount of money obtained</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Property demanded or obtained is of some value</li> </ul>
	(financial or otherwise) regardless of monetary
	worth to the victim and/or others
Category 3	Limited effects of the offence
Catogory o	<ul> <li>Property demanded or obtained is of a small</li> </ul>
	amount (financial or otherwise) regardless of
	monetary worth to the victim and/or others

## **STEP TWO**

# Starting point and category range

Having determined the category at step one, the court should use the corresponding starting point to reach a sentence within the category range below. The starting point applies to all offenders irrespective of plea or previous convictions

Harm		Culpability				
	Α	В	С			
Category 1	Starting Point 78 years' custody	Starting Point 4 years' custody	Starting Point 2 years' custody			
	Category Range	Category Range	Category Range			
	4 - 1 <u>2</u> 0-years' custody	2 - <u>68</u> years' custody	1 -4- <u>5</u> years' custody			
Category 2	Starting Point 4 years' custody	Starting Point 2 years' custody	Starting Point 1 years' custody			
	Category Range	Category Range	Category Range			
	2 - <del>6</del> - <u>8</u> years' custody	1 -4 <u>5</u> years' custody	6 months'- 2 years' custody			
Category 3	Starting Point 2 years' custody	Starting Point 1 years' custody	Starting Point 6 months' custody			
	Category Range	Category Range	Category Range			
	1 -4 <u>-5</u> years' custody	6 months' - 2 years' custody	High level Community order - 1 year's custody			

[Where another offence or offences arise out of the same incident or facts concurrent sentences **reflecting the overall criminality** of offending will ordinarily be appropriate: please refer to the Totality guideline and step five of this guideline.]

Below is a **non-exhaustive** list of additional elements providing the context of the offence and factors relating to the offender. Identify whether a combination of these or other relevant factors should result in any upward or downward adjustment from the sentence arrived at so far.

# **Factors increasing seriousness**

Statutory aggravating factors:

- Previous convictions, having regard to a) the **nature** of the offence to which the conviction relates and its **relevance** to the current offence; and b) the **time** that has elapsed since the conviction
- Offence committed whilst on bail
- Offence motivated by, or demonstrating hostility based on any of the following characteristics or presumed characteristics of the victim: religion, race, disability, sexual orientation or transgender identity

# Other aggravating factors:

- Disturbing nature of the threat(s)
- Conduct intended to maximise distress and/or humiliation
- Offence <u>committed in context of/in connection with related to</u> other criminal activity
- Abuse of trust or dominant position or abuse of confidential information
- Victim as a Public official abused their position as a result of the offence
- Offence involved use or threat of a weapon
- Other(s) put at risk of harm by the offending
- Blame wrongly placed on others
- Offence committed in a domestic context (where not taken into account at step one)
- Commission of offence whilst under the influence of alcohol or drugs
- · Leading role in group
- Offender involves others in the conduct
- Offence committed on licence or while subject to court order(s)

# Factors reducing seriousness or reflecting personal mitigation

- No previous convictions or no relevant/recent convictions
- Remorse
- The offender was in a lesser or subordinate role if acting with others/performed limited role under direction
- Good character and/or exemplary conduct
- Co-operation with the investigation/early admissions
- Physical disability or serious medical conditions requiring urgent, intensive or long-term treatment
- Mental disorder or learning disability (where not taken into account at step 1)
- Age and/or lack of maturity
- Sole or primary carer for dependent relatives
- Determination and/or demonstration of steps having been taken to address addiction or offending behaviour

## STEP 3

# Consider any factors which indicate a reduction for assistance to the prosecution

The court should take into account <u>section 74 of the Sentencing Code</u> (reduction in sentence for assistance to prosecution) and any other rule of law by virtue of which an offender may receive a discounted sentence in consequence of assistance given (or offered) to the prosecutor or investigator.

## STEP 4

# Reduction for guilty pleas

The court should take account of any potential reduction for a guilty plea in accordance with <u>section 73 of the Sentencing Code</u> and the <u>Reduction in Sentence</u> for a Guilty Plea guideline.

#### STEP 5

# **Totality principle**

If sentencing an offender for more than one offence, or where the offender is already serving a sentence, consider whether the total sentence is just and proportionate to the overall offending behaviour in accordance with the Totality guideline.

#### STEP 6

# Compensation, confiscation and ancillary orders

**Confiscation orders** under the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 may only be made by the Crown Court. The Crown Court must proceed with a view to making a confiscation order if it is asked to do so by the prosecutor or if the Crown Court believes it is appropriate for it to do so.

Confiscation must be dealt with before, and taken into account when assessing, any other fine or financial order (except compensation).

(See Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 sections 6 and 13)

Where the offence has resulted in loss or damage the court must consider whether to make a **compensation order**. The court must give reasons if it decides not to award compensation in such cases (Sentencing Code, s.55).

If the court makes both a confiscation order and an order for compensation and the court believes the offender will not have sufficient means to satisfy both orders in full, the court must direct that the compensation be paid out of sums recovered under the confiscation order (section 13 of the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002).

The court may also consider whether to make ancillary orders. These may include a deprivation order, a serious crime prevention order and disqualification from acting as a company director.

## **Serious Crime Prevention Order**

The Crown Court may consider the criteria in section 19 of the Serious Crime Act 2007 for the imposition of a Serious Crime Prevention Order.

Ancillary orders - Crown Court Compendium

# STEP 7

# Reasons

<u>Section 52 of the Sentencing Code</u> imposes a duty to give reasons for, and explain the effect of, the sentence.

# STEP 8

# Consideration for time spent on bail (tagged curfew)

The court must consider whether to give credit for time spent on bail in accordance with section 240A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and section 325 of the Sentencing Code.

Blank page

#### Kidnapping, false imprisonment, abduction of child by parent, etc, abduction of child by other persons, blackmail and disclosing private sexual images offences. Anne

#### Section 1: Kidnapping

- Table 1 1 Number of adult offenders sentenced for kidnapping, Crown Court, 2010-2020
- Table 1 2 Number and proportion of adult offenders sentenced for kidnapping, by sentence outcome, 2010-2020
- Table 1 3 Average custodial sentence lengths (ACSL) received by adult offenders sentenced for kidnapping, 2010-2020
- Table 1 4a Estimated pre-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for kidnapping, 2010-2020
- Table 1 4b Post-quilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for kidnapping, 2010-2020

#### Section 2: False imprisonment

- Table 2 1 Number of adult offenders sentenced for false imprisonment, Crown Court, 2010-2020
- Table 2 2 Number and proportion of adult offenders sentenced for false imprisonment, by sentence outcome, 2010-2020
- Table 2 3 Average custodial sentence lengths (ACSL) received by adult offenders sentenced for false imprisonment, 2010-2020
- Table 2 4a Estimated pre-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for false imprisonment, 2010-2020
- Table 2 4b Post-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for false imprisonment, 2010-2020

#### Section 3: Abduction of child by parent

- Table 3 1 Number of adult offenders sentenced for abduction of child by parent, etc, all courts, 2010-2020
- Table 3 2 Number and proportion of adult offenders sentenced for abduction of child by parent, etc, by sentence outcome, 2010-2020
- Table 3 3 Average custodial sentence lengths (ACSL) received by adult offenders sentenced for abduction of child by parent, etc, 2010-2020
- Table 3 4a Estimated pre-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for abduction of child by parent, etc, 2010-2020
- Table 3 4b Post-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for abduction of child by parent, etc, 2010-2020

#### Section 4: Abduction of child by other persons

- Table 4 1 Number of adult offenders sentenced for abduction of child by other persons, all courts, 2010-2020
- Table 4 2 Number and proportion of adult offenders sentenced for abduction of child by other persons, by sentence outcome, 2010-2020
- Table 4 3 Average custodial sentence lengths (ACSL) received by adult offenders sentenced for abduction of child by other persons, 2010-2020
- Table 4 4a Estimated pre-quilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for abduction of child by other persons, 2010-2020
- Table 4 4b Post-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for abduction of child by other persons, 2010-2020

#### Section 5: Blackmail

- Table 5 1 Number of adult offenders sentenced for blackmail, Crown Court, 2010-2020
- Table 5 2 Number and proportion of adult offenders sentenced for blackmail, by sentence outcome, 2010-2020
- Table 5 3 Average custodial sentence lengths (ACSL) received by adult offenders sentenced for blackmail, 2010-2020
- Table 5 4a Estimated pre-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for blackmail, 2010-2020
- Table 5 4b Post-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for blackmail, 2010-2020

#### Section 6: Disclosing private sexual images

- Table 6 1 Number of adult offenders sentenced for disclosing private sexual images, all courts, 2015-2021
- Table 6 2 Number and proportion of adult offenders sentenced for disclosing private sexual images, by sentence outcome, 2015-2021
- Table 6 3 Average custodial sentence lengths (ACSL) received by adult offenders sentenced for disclosing private sexual images, 2015-2021
- Table 6 4a Estimated pre-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for disclosing private sexual images, 2015-2021
- Table 6 4b Post-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for disclosing private sexual images, 2015-2021

Table 1.1: Number of adult offenders sentenced for kidnapping, Crown Court, 2010-2020<sup>1</sup>

Court	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>2</sup>
Crown Court	147	128	123	95	122	134	136	121	120	98	69

- 1) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there was one kidnapping case in the CPD in 2019 which indicates that the offender was sentenced in a magistrates' court. This case has been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court.
- 2) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 1.2: Number and proportion of adult offenders sentenced for kidnapping, by sentence outcome, 2010-2020<sup>1</sup>

Outcome	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>2</sup>
Absolute and conditional discharge	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Fine	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Community sentence	3	1	0	3	1	3	0	1	3	1	2
Suspended sentence	8	6	8	10	7	10	11	8	4	3	5
Immediate custody	134	117	115	82	113	117	123	105	104	88	51
Otherwise dealt with <sup>3</sup>	2	3	0	0	1	2	2	7	9	6	11
Total	147	128	123	95	122	134	136	121	120	98	69

Outcome	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>2</sup>
Absolute and conditional discharge	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Fine	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Community sentence	2%	1%	0%	3%	1%	2%	0%	1%	3%	1%	3%
Suspended sentence	5%	5%	7%	11%	6%	7%	8%	7%	3%	3%	7%
Immediate custody	91%	91%	93%	86%	93%	87%	90%	87%	87%	90%	74%
Otherwise dealt with <sup>3</sup>	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	6%	8%	6%	16%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- 1) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there was one kidnapping case in the CPD in 2019 which indicates that the offender was sentenced in a magistrates' court. This case has been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court.
- 2) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.
- 3) The category 'Otherwise dealt with' covers miscellaneous disposals. Please note that due to a data issue currently under investigation, there are a number of cases which are incorrectly categorised in the Court Proceedings Database (CPD) as 'Otherwise dealt with'. Therefore, these volumes and proportions should be treated with caution.

Estimated pre-guilty plea

ACSL (years) <sup>1,2,3</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Mean	6.02	5.41	4.8	4.9	6.8	6.6	5.8	6.0	7.6	6.6	7.2
Median	5.3	4	3.8	4.0	5.6	4.5	5.0	4.5	6.8	6.0	6.9

Post-guilty plea

ACSL (years) <sup>1,2,3</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Mean	4.88	4.39	3.8	4.0	5.6	5.8	4.8	5.3	6.7	5.9	5.8
Median	5.0	3.5	3.0	3.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	6.0	5.5	5.6

- 1) The ACSL calculation excludes life and indeterminate sentences, for offences where these types of sentences apply.
- 2) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there was one kidnapping case in the CPD in 2019 which indicate that the offender was sentenced in a magistrates' court. This case has been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be
- 3) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is life imprisonment.
- 4) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 1.4a: Estimated pre-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for kidnapping, 2010-2020

Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2,3</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Less than 2 years	23	20	27	15	12	23	18	15	7	11	5
2 to 4	27	37	32	27	24	30	35	31	24	26	6
4 to 6	25	23	18	19	27	17	18	19	20	10	13
6 to 8	21	11	8	5	14	7	22	11	11	12	10
8 to 10	12	4	9	4	12	14	12	8	12	10	7
10 to 12	8	4	5	6	7	6	13	7	16	12	4
12 to 14 years	2	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	7	1	1
Greater than 14 years	7	7	2	1	10	13	3	7	6	5	4
Indeterminate	9	8	11	4	2	2	1	4	1	1	1
Total	134	117	115	82	113	117	123	105	104	88	51
Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2,3</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Less than 2 years	17%	17%	23%	18%	11%	20%	15%	14%	7%	13%	10%
2 to 4	20%	32%	28%	33%	21%	26%	28%	30%	23%	30%	12%
4 to 6	19%	20%	16%	23%	24%	15%	15%	18%	19%	11%	25%
6 to 8	16%	9%	7%	6%	12%	6%	18%	10%	11%	14%	20%
8 to 10	9%	3%	8%	5%	11%	12%	10%	8%	12%	11%	14%
10 to 12	6%	3%	4%	7%	6%	5%	11%	7%	15%	14%	8%
12 to 14 years	1%	3%	3%	1%	4%	4%	1%	3%	7%	1%	2%
Greater than 14 years	5%	6%	2%	1%	9%	11%	2%	7%	6%	6%	8%
Indeterminate	7%	7%	10%	5%	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- 1) Sentence length intervals do not include the lower bound, but do include the upper bound sentence length. For example, the category 'Less than 2 years' includes sentence lengths less than and equal to 2 years, and '2 to 4' includes sentence lengths over 2 years, and up to and including 4 years.
- 2) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there was one kidnapping case in the CPD in 2019 which indicate that the offender was sentenced in a magistrates' court. This case has been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court.
- 3) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is life imprisonment.
- 4) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 1.4b: Post-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for kidnapping, 2010-2020

Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2,3</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Less than 2 years	35	31	39	22	16	31	28	23	11	13	7
2 to 4	25	41	36	31	40	29	34	30	29	27	9
4 to 6	27	16	14	14	22	13	23	18	18	9	15
6 to 8	23	7	4	4	5	8	26	12	10	17	10
8 to 10	6	6	5	3	13	13	6	5	12	10	4
10 to 12	3	5	4	2	9	7	5	7	14	8	4
12 to 14 years	5	2	1	2	2	6	0	1	5	2	1
Greater than 14 years	1	1	1	0	4	8	0	5	4	1	0
Indeterminate	9	8	11	4	2	2	1	4	1	1	1
Total	134	117	115	82	113	117	123	105	104	88	51
Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2,3</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Less than 2 years	26%	26%	34%	27%	14%	26%	23%	22%	11%	15%	14%
2 to 4	19%	35%	31%	38%	35%	25%	28%	29%	28%	31%	18%
4 to 6	20%	14%	12%	17%	19%	11%	19%	17%	17%	10%	29%
6 to 8	17%	6%	3%	5%	4%	7%	21%	11%	10%	19%	20%
8 to 10	4%	5%	4%	4%	12%	11%	5%	5%	12%	11%	8%
10 to 12	2%	4%	3%	2%	8%	6%	4%	7%	13%	9%	8%
12 to 14 years	4%	2%	1%	2%	2%	5%	0%	1%	5%	2%	2%
Greater than 14 years	1%	1%	1%	0%	4%	7%	0%	5%	4%	1%	0%
Indeterminate	7%	7%	10%	5%	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- 1) Sentence length intervals do not include the lower bound, but do include the upper bound sentence length. For example, the category 'Less than 2 years' includes sentence lengths less than and equal to 2 years, and '2 to 4' includes sentence lengths over 2 years, and up to and including 4 years.
- 2) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there was one kidnapping case in the CPD in 2019 which indicate that the offender was sentenced in a magistrates' court. This case has been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court.
- 3) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is life imprisonment. In 2020 the longest post-guilty plea determinate sentence given was 13 years.
- 4) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 2.1: Number of adult offenders sentenced for false imprisonment, Crown Court, 2010-2020<sup>1</sup>

Court	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>2</sup>
Crown Court	199	202	196	171	155	191	189	112	94	113	82

- 1) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there were three false imprisonment cases in the CPD between 2018-2020 which indicate that the offenders were sentenced in a magistrates' court. These cases have been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court.
- 2) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 2.2: Number and proportion of adult offenders sentenced for false imprisonment, by sentence outcome, 2010-2020<sup>1</sup>

Outcome	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>2</sup>
Absolute and conditional discharge	1	1	1	1	1	0	7	3	0	0	0
Fine	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Community sentence	11	11	19	7	6	7	2	3	3	4	2
Suspended sentence	20	21	32	15	26	22	27	12	5	4	5
Immediate custody	159	158	137	144	120	149	141	89	76	97	70
Otherwise dealt with <sup>3</sup>	8	10	7	4	2	13	12	5	10	8	5
Total	199	202	196	171	155	191	189	112	94	113	82

Outcome	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>2</sup>
Absolute and conditional discharge	1%	<0.5%	1%	1%	1%	0%	4%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Fine	0%	<0.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Community sentence	6%	5%	10%	4%	4%	4%	1%	3%	3%	4%	2%
Suspended sentence	10%	10%	16%	9%	17%	12%	14%	11%	5%	4%	6%
Immediate custody	80%	78%	70%	84%	77%	78%	75%	79%	81%	86%	85%
Otherwise dealt with <sup>3</sup>	4%	5%	4%	2%	1%	7%	6%	4%	11%	7%	6%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- 1) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there were three false imprisonment cases in the CPD between 2018-2020 which indicate that the offenders were sentenced in a magistrates' court. These cases have been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court.
- 2) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.
- 3) The category 'Otherwise dealt with' covers miscellaneous disposals. Please note that due to a data issue currently under investigation, there are a number of cases which are incorrectly categorised in the Court Proceedings Database (CPD) as 'Otherwise dealt with'. Therefore, these volumes and proportions should be treated with caution.

Estimated pre-guilty plea

ACSL (years) <sup>1,2,3</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Mean	4.28	4.7	3.6	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.5	5.1	5.0	6.6	4.7
Median	3.3	4	3.1	3.4	3.8	3.3	3.8	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.5

Post-quilty plea

. oo gamy prou											
ACSL (years) <sup>1,2,3</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Mean	3.29	3.72	2.8	3.2	3.6	3.9	3.6	4.2	4.1	5.2	3.6
Median	2.7	3	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0

- 1) The ACSL calculation excludes life and indeterminate sentences, for offences where these types of sentences apply.
- 2) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there were three false imprisonment cases in the CPD between 2018-2020 which indicate that the offenders were sentenced in a magistrates' court. These cases have been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court.
- 3) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is life imprisonment.
- 4) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 2.4a: Estimated pre-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for false imprisonment, 2010-2020

Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2,3</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Less than 2 years	33	25	37	33	25	38	32	23	17	13	13
2 to 4	50	52	48	50	45	50	42	22	21	24	24
4 to 6	24	39	28	37	22	23	37	18	22	20	17
6 to 8	13	18	9	9	11	13	15	10	3	14	8
8 to 10	5	11	2	7	9	5	6	7	5	9	3
10 to 12	3	5	4	4	6	7	0	4	1	5	1
12 to 14 years	2	0	0	2	1	4	4	2	2	6	3
Greater than 14 years	3	1	0	1	1	4	2	3	4	6	1
Indeterminate	26	7	9	1	0	5	3	0	1	0	0
Total	159	158	137	144	120	149	141	89	76	97	70
Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2,3</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Less than 2 years	21%	16%	27%	23%	21%	26%	23%	26%	22%	13%	19%
2 to 4	31%	33%	35%	35%	38%	34%	30%	25%	28%	25%	34%
4 to 6	15%	25%	20%	26%	18%	15%	26%	20%	29%	21%	24%
6 to 8	8%	11%	7%	6%	9%	9%	11%	11%	4%	14%	11%
8 to 10	3%	7%	1%	5%	8%	3%	4%	8%	7%	9%	4%
10 to 12	2%	3%	3%	3%	5%	5%	0%	4%	1%	5%	1%
12 to 14 years	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%	6%	4%
Greater than 14 years	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%	5%	6%	1%
Indeterminate	16%	4%	7%	1%	0%	3%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- 1) Sentence length intervals do not include the lower bound, but do include the upper bound sentence length. For example, the category 'Less than 2 years' includes sentence lengths less than and equal to 2 years, and '2 to 4' includes sentence lengths over 2 years, and up to and including 4 years.
- 2) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there were three false imprisonment cases in the CPD between 2018-2020 which indicate that the offenders were sentenced in a magistrates' court. These cases have been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court.
- 3) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is life imprisonment.
- 4) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 2.4b: Post guilty-plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for false imprisonment, 2010-2020

Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2,3</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Less than 2 years	54	39	56	52	47	59	47	33	27	19	20
2 to 4	48	68	54	59	37	49	48	25	21	31	31
4 to 6	18	26	8	20	21	11	27	14	14	13	12
6 to 8	4	11	9	6	9	9	9	7	5	14	2
8 to 10	5	5	1	4	4	4	3	4	3	14	3
10 to 12	3	1	0	1	1	5	1	2	1	2	1
12 to 14 years	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	2	2	1	1
Greater than 14 years	1	1	0	0	0	4	0	2	2	3	0
Indeterminate	26	7	9	1	0	5	3	0	1	0	0
Total	159	158	137	144	120	149	141	89	76	97	70
Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2,3</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Less than 2 years	34%	25%	41%	36%	39%	40%	33%	37%	36%	20%	29%
2 to 4	30%	43%	39%	41%	31%	33%	34%	28%	28%	32%	44%
4 to 6	11%	16%	6%	14%	18%	7%	19%	16%	18%	13%	17%
6 to 8	3%	7%	7%	4%	8%	6%	6%	8%	7%	14%	3%
8 to 10	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	14%	4%
10 to 12	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%
12 to 14 years	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%
Greater than 14 years	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%	3%	3%	0%
Indeterminate	16%	4%	7%	1%	0%	3%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- 1) Sentence length intervals do not include the lower bound, but do include the upper bound sentence length. For example, the category 'Less than 2 years' includes sentence lengths less than and equal to 2 years, and '2 to 4' includes sentence lengths over 2 years, and up to and including 4 years.
- 2) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there were three false imprisonment cases in the CPD between 2018-2020 which indicate that the offenders were sentenced in a magistrates' court. These cases have been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court.
- 3) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is life imprisonment. In 2020 the longest post-guilty plea determinate sentence given was 14 years.
- 4) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 3.1: Number of adult offenders sentenced for abduction of child by parent, etc, all courts, 2010-2020

Court	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>1</sup>
Magistrates' court	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Crown Court	7	12	6	11	17	15	13	10	9	11	7
Total	8	12	6	11	17	17	15	10	9	11	7
Court	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Magistrates' court	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Crown Court	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	87%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

<sup>1)</sup> Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 3.2: Number and proportion of adult offenders sentenced for abduction of child by parent, etc, by sentence outcome, 2010-2020

Outcome	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>1</sup>
Absolute and conditional discharge	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
Fine	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Community sentence	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Suspended sentence	2	5	1	3	7	6	7	3	4	3	1
Immediate custody	3	7	5	8	9	9	7	6	5	8	5
Otherwise dealt with <sup>2</sup>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	8	12	6	11	17	17	15	10	9	11	7

Outcome	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>1</sup>
Absolute and conditional discharge	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	7%	10%	0%	0%	0%
Fine	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Community sentence	13%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%
Suspended sentence	25%	42%	17%	27%	41%	35%	47%	30%	44%	27%	14%
Immediate custody	38%	58%	83%	73%	53%	53%	47%	60%	56%	73%	71%
Otherwise dealt with <sup>2</sup>	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

<sup>1)</sup> Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

<sup>2)</sup> The category 'Otherwise dealt with' covers miscellaneous disposals. Please note that due to a data issue currently under investigation, there are a number of cases which are incorrectly categorised in the Court Proceedings Database (CPD) as 'Otherwise dealt with'. Therefore, these volumes and proportions should be treated with caution.

Table 3.3: Average custodial sentence lengths (ACSL) received by adult offenders sentenced for abduction of child by parent, etc, 2010-2020

Estimated pre-guilty plea

ACSL (years) <sup>1,2</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>
Mean	2.15	3.03	3.2	2.1	4.0	2.7	3.1	2.4	3.2	2.2	3.4
Median	1.8	2.25	2.7	1.9	2.5	2.0	2.7	2.6	2.7	1.3	3.0

Post-guilty plea

ACSL (years) <sup>1,2</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>
Mean	1.83	2.06	2.2	1.7	3.3	2.1	2.3	1.9	2.3	1.6	3.0
Median	1.2	1.5	2.0	1.3	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.7	1.0	3.0

- 1) The ACSL calculation excludes life and indeterminate sentences, for offences where these types of sentences apply.
- 2) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is 7 years' custody.
- 3) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.
- 4) For 2013 onwards this is calculated as the number of offenders given life sentences, out of the number of offenders given a sentence of immediate custody. For 2010-2012, this is calculated as the number of offenders sentenced to Imprisonment for Public Protection (IPP), Extended Sentences for Public Protection (EPP) and life sentences, out of the number of offenders given a sentence of immediate custody. IPP and EPP sentences were introduced in 2005 and abolished in 2012.

Table 3.4a: Estimated pre-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for abduction of child by parent, etc, 2010-2020

Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>
Less than 1 year	0	1	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
1 to 2	2	2	2	4	2	5	2	2	1	5	0
2 to 3	0	1	2	1	2	1	2	3	1	1	3
3 to 4	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	1
4 to 5	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
5 to 6	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
6 to 7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greater than 7 years <sup>4</sup>	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
Total	3	7	5	8	9	9	7	6	5	8	5
Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>
Less than 1 year	0%	14%	0%	25%	11%	0%	14%	0%	20%	13%	0%
1 to 2	67%	29%	40%	50%	22%	56%	29%	33%	20%	63%	0%
2 to 3	0%	14%	40%	13%	22%	11%	29%	50%	20%	13%	60%
3 to 4	33%	14%	0%	0%	0%	22%	14%	17%	0%	0%	20%
4 to 5	0%	14%	0%	0%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%
5 to 6	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	11%	0%	0%	40%	0%	0%
6 to 7	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Greater than 7 years <sup>4</sup>	0%	14%	20%	0%	11%	0%	14%	0%	0%	13%	0%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- 1) Sentence length intervals do not include the lower bound, but do include the upper bound sentence length. For example, the category 'Less than 1 year' includes sentence lengths less than and equal to 1 year, and '1 to 2' includes sentence lengths over 1 year and up to and including 2 years.
- 2) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is 7 years' custody.
- 3) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.
- 4) While these sentences appear to exceed the statutory maximum, they are estimates only; there are no post guilty plea sentence lengths exceeding the statutory maximum.

Table 3.4b: Post-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for abduction of child by parent, etc, 2010-2020

Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>
Less than 1 year	1	2	2	2	3	1	1	1	2	5	0
1 to 2	1	2	2	5	2	5	3	4	0	2	1
2 to 3	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	2
3 to 4	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2
4 to 5	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
5 to 6	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
6 to 7 years	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	3	7	5	8	9	9	7	6	5	8	5
Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>
Less than 1 year	33%	29%	40%	25%	33%	11%	14%	17%	40%	63%	0%
1 to 2	33%	29%	40%	63%	22%	56%	43%	67%	0%	25%	20%
2 to 3	0%	29%	0%	0%	0%	11%	29%	17%	20%	0%	40%
3 to 4	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22%	0%	0%	40%	0%	40%
4 to 5	0%	14%	20%	0%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
5 to 6	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	13%	0%
6 to 7 years	0%	0%	0%	0%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- 1) Sentence length intervals do not include the lower bound, but do include the upper bound sentence length. For example, the category 'Less than 1 year' includes sentence lengths less than and equal to 1 year, and '1 to 2' includes sentence lengths over 1 year and up to and including 2 years.
- 2) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is 7 years' custody. In 2020 the longest post-guilty plea determinate sentence given was 4 years.
- 3) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 4.1: Number of adult offenders sentenced for abduction of child by other persons, all courts, 2010-2020

Court	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>1</sup>
Magistrates' court	8	16	14	19	14	26	29	20	30	19	17
Crown Court	60	53	67	48	65	71	59	59	42	41	32
Total	68	69	81	67	79	97	88	79	72	60	49
Court	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Magistrates' court	12%	23%	17%	28%	18%	27%	33%	25%	42%	32%	35%
Crown Court	88%	77%	83%	72%	82%	73%	67%	75%	58%	68%	65%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

<sup>1)</sup> Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 4.2: Number and proportion of adult offenders sentenced for abduction of child by other persons, by sentence outcome, 2010-2020

Outcome	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>1</sup>
Absolute and conditional discharge	2	4	5	7	5	9	9	5	7	3	4
Fine	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	4	0	0
Community sentence	12	20	22	11	11	17	16	11	15	8	12
Suspended sentence	14	11	12	13	18	23	20	19	13	9	6
Immediate custody	38	28	40	30	43	44	40	36	25	32	24
Otherwise dealt with <sup>2</sup>	1	5	1	5	2	4	2	6	8	8	3
Total	68	69	81	67	79	97	88	79	72	60	49

Outcome	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>1</sup>
Absolute and conditional discharge	3%	6%	6%	10%	6%	9%	10%	6%	10%	5%	8%
Fine	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	3%	6%	0%	0%
Community sentence	18%	29%	27%	16%	14%	18%	18%	14%	21%	13%	24%
Suspended sentence	21%	16%	15%	19%	23%	24%	23%	24%	18%	15%	12%
Immediate custody	56%	41%	49%	45%	54%	45%	45%	46%	35%	53%	49%
Otherwise dealt with <sup>2</sup>	1%	7%	1%	7%	3%	4%	2%	8%	11%	13%	6%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

<sup>1)</sup> Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

<sup>2)</sup> The category 'Otherwise dealt with' covers miscellaneous disposals. Please note that due to a data issue currently under investigation, there are a number of cases which are incorrectly categorised in the Court Proceedings Database (CPD) as 'Otherwise dealt with'. Therefore, these volumes and proportions should be treated with caution.

Table 4.3: Average custodial sentence lengths (ACSL) received by adult offenders sentenced for abduction of child by other persons, etc, 2010-2020

Estimated pre-guilty plea

ACSL (years) <sup>1,2</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>
Mean	2.53	1.88	1.9	2.2	1.8	2.3	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.2
Median	2.3	2	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.9	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.8	1.8

Post-quilty plea

ACSL (years) <sup>1,2</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>
Mean	1.86	1.44	1.4	1.7	1.4	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.6
Median	1.6	1.33	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.2

- 1) The ACSL calculation excludes life and indeterminate sentences, for offences where these types of sentences apply.
- 2) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is 7 years' custody.
- 3) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.
- 4) For 2013 onwards this is calculated as the number of offenders given life sentences, out of the number of offenders given a sentence of immediate custody. For 2010-2012, this is calculated as the number of offenders sentenced to Imprisonment for Public Protection (IPP), Extended Sentences for Public Protection (EPP) and life sentences, out of the number of offenders given a sentence of immediate custody. IPP and EPP sentences were introduced in 2005 and abolished in 2012.

Table 4.4a: Estimated pre-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for abduction of child by other persons, etc, 2010-2020

Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>
Less than 1 year	4	10	11	9	12	8	16	10	8	9	8
1 to 2	12	8	16	8	17	16	10	12	9	11	6
2 to 3	12	6	8	6	8	8	5	11	2	4	4
3 to 4	5	4	2	2	3	9	6	1	2	6	2
4 to 5	2	0	2	3	1	3	1	1	3	2	0
5 to 6	2	0	1	2	2	0	2	1	1	0	3
6 to 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greater than 7 years <sup>4</sup>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	38	28	40	30	43	44	40	36	25	32	24
Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>
Less than 1 year	11%	36%	28%	30%	28%	18%	40%	28%	32%	28%	33%
1 to 2	32%	29%	40%	27%	40%	36%	25%	33%	36%	34%	25%
2 to 3	32%	21%	20%	20%	19%	18%	13%	31%	8%	13%	17%
3 to 4	13%	14%	5%	7%	7%	20%	15%	3%	8%	19%	8%
4 to 5	5%	0%	5%	10%	2%	7%	3%	3%	12%	6%	0%
5 to 6	5%	0%	3%	7%	5%	0%	5%	3%	4%	0%	13%
6 to 7	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Greater than 7 years <sup>4</sup>	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%

Total

1) Sentence length intervals do not include the lower bound, but do include the upper bound sentence length. For example, the category 'Less than 1 year' includes sentence lengths less than and equal to 1 year, and '1 to 2' includes sentence lengths over 1 year and up to and including 2 years.

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

2) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is 7 years' custody.

100%

100%

100%

- 3) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.
- 4) While these sentences appear to exceed the statutory maximum, they are estimates only; there are no post guilty plea sentence lengths exceeding the statutory maximum.

Table 4.4b: Post-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for abduction of child by other persons, 2010-2020

Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>
Less than 1 year	10	11	19	14	21	15	20	18	15	12	11
1 to 2	16	12	14	9	14	15	10	12	3	12	6
2 to 3	8	3	5	2	5	11	7	3	4	8	3
3 to 4	3	2	2	2	3	3	1	3	1	0	3
4 to 5	1	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
5 to 6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
6 to 7 years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	38	28	40	30	43	44	40	36	25	32	24
Sentence length (years) <sup>1,2</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>
Less than 1 year	26%	39%	48%	47%	49%	34%	50%	50%	60%	38%	46%
1 to 2	42%	43%	35%	30%	33%	34%	25%	33%	12%	38%	25%
2 to 3	21%	11%	13%	7%	12%	25%	18%	8%	16%	25%	13%
3 to 4	8%	7%	5%	7%	7%	7%	3%	8%	4%	0%	13%
4 to 5	3%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	5%	0%	8%	0%	0%
5 to 6	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%
6 to 7 years	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- 1) Sentence length intervals do not include the lower bound, but do include the upper bound sentence length. For example, the category 'Less than 1 year' includes sentence lengths less than and equal to 1 year, and '1 to 2' includes sentence lengths over 1 year and up to and including 2 years.
- 2) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is 7 years' custody. In 2020 the longest post-guilty plea determinate sentence given was 5 years 9 months.
- 3) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 5.1: Number of adult offenders sentenced for blackmail, Crown Court, 2010 to 2020<sup>1,2</sup>

Court	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Crown Court	170	147	143	137	202	220	179	149	158	134	108
Total	170	147	143	137	202	220	179	149	158	134	108

- 1) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.
- 2) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there were four blackmail cases in the CPD between 2010-2020 which indicates that the offender was sentenced in a magistrates' court. These cases have been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court. There was also an indeterminate sentence in 2012 which has been excluded from the above table.

Table 5.2: Number and proportion of adult offenders sentenced for blackmail, by sentence outcome, 2010-2020<sup>1,2</sup>

Outcome	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Community sentence	3	5	3	4	3	4	3	1	2	4	2
Suspended sentence	18	11	14	30	30	39	40	26	29	25	31
Immediate custody	146	126	125	99	168	177	135	120	125	103	70
Otherwise dealt with <sup>3</sup>	3	5	1	4	1	0	1	2	2	2	5
Total	170	147	143	137	202	220	179	149	158	134	108

Outcome Community sentence	2010 2%	2011 3%	2012	2013 3%	2014 1%	2015 2%	2016 2%	2017 1%	2018 1%	2019 3%	2020
Suspended sentence	11%	7%	10%	22%	15%	18%	22%	17%	18%	19%	29%
Immediate custody	86%	86%	87%	72%	83%	80%	75%	81%	79%	77%	65%
Otherwise dealt with <sup>3</sup>	2%	3%	1%	3%	<0.5%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	5%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- 1) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.
- 2) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there were four blackmail cases in the CPD between 2010-2020 which indicates that the offender was sentenced in a magistrates' court. These cases have been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court. There was also an indeterminate sentence in 2012 which has been excluded from the above table.
- 3) The category 'Otherwise dealt with' covers miscellaneous disposals. Please note that due to a data issue currently under investigation, there are a number of cases which are incorrectly categorised in the Court Proceedings Database (CPD) as 'Otherwise dealt with'. Therefore, these volumes and proportions should be treated with caution.

Table 5.3: Average custodial sentence lengths (ACSL) received by adult offenders sentenced for blackmail, 2010-2020<sup>1,2,3</sup>

## Estimated pre-guilty plea

ACSL (years)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Mean	3.5	3.8	3.3	3.8	3.9	3.4	3.7	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.7
Median	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.3	3.4	3.0	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.1

## Post-guilty plea

ACSL (years)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>
Mean	2.8	3.0	2.6	3.0	3.1	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.9
Median	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.5	2.7	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.3

- 1) The ACSL calculation excludes life and indeterminate sentences, for offences where these types of sentences apply.
- 2) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is 14 years.
- 3) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there were four blackmail cases in the CPD between 2010-2020 which indicates that the offender was sentenced in a magistrates' court. These cases have been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court.
- 4) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 5.4a: Estimated pre-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for blackmail, 2010-2020<sup>1,2</sup>

Sentence length (years) <sup>3,4</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Up to 2 years	38	33	28	22	30	54	35	35	28	30	14
2 to 4	63	55	71	44	79	77	61	47	58	39	33
4 to 6	35	20	17	21	39	33	23	27	25	17	16
6 to 8	3	9	6	8	13	8	9	9	7	11	4
8 to 10	4	4	2	2	3	3	3	2	7	6	0
Greater than 10 years	3	5	1	2	4	2	4	0	0	0	3
Total	146	126	125	99	168	177	135	120	125	103	70

Greater than 10 years  Total	2% 100%	4% 100%	1% <b>100%</b>	2% <b>100%</b>	2% <b>100%</b>	1% <b>100%</b>	3% 100%	0% <b>100%</b>	0% <b>100%</b>	0% <b>100%</b>	4% 100%
8 to 10	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	6%	6%	0%
6 to 8	2%	7%	5%	8%	8%	5%	7%	8%	6%	11%	6%
4 to 6	24%	16%	14%	21%	23%	19%	17%	23%	20%	17%	23%
2 to 4	43%	44%	57%	44%	47%	44%	45%	39%	46%	38%	47%
Up to 2 years	26%	26%	22%	22%	18%	31%	26%	29%	22%	29%	20%
Sentence length (years) <sup>3,4</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020

- 1) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.
- 2) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there were four blackmail cases in the CPD between 2010-2020 which indicates that the offender was sentenced in a magistrates' court. These cases have been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court. There was also an indeterminate sentence in 2012 which has been excluded from the above table.
- 3) Sentence length intervals do not include the lower bound, but do include the upper bound sentence length. For example, the category 'Up to 2 years' includes sentence lengths less than or equal to 2 years, and '2 to 4' includes sentence lengths over 2 years, and up to and including 4 years.
- 4) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is 14 years.

Table 5.4b: Post-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for blackmail, 2010-2020<sup>1,2</sup>

Sentence length (years) <sup>3,4</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Up to 2 years	65	56	64	42	58	79	55	51	55	45	27
2 to 4	60	46	46	35	76	75	55	47	47	36	33
4 to 6	15	12	12	17	22	16	16	18	19	14	7
6 to 8	3	10	3	4	10	5	5	4	4	6	1
8 to 10	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	2	0
Greater than 10 years	0	2	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	2
Total	146	126	125	99	168	177	135	120	125	103	70

Sentence length (years) <sup>3,4</sup>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Up to 2 years	45%	44%	51%	42%	35%	45%	41%	43%	44%	44%	39%
2 to 4	41%	37%	37%	35%	45%	42%	41%	39%	38%	35%	47%
4 to 6	10%	10%	10%	17%	13%	9%	12%	15%	15%	14%	10%
6 to 8	2%	8%	2%	4%	6%	3%	4%	3%	3%	6%	1%
8 to 10	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Greater than 10 years	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- 1) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.
- 2) Figures shown here differ from those published by the MoJ, as there were four blackmail cases in the CPD between 2010-2020 which indicates that the offender was sentenced in a magistrates' court. These cases have been excluded from the above table as this offence is indictable only, and can therefore only be sentenced in the Crown Court. There was also an indeterminate sentence in 2012 which has been excluded from the above table.
- 3) Sentence length intervals do not include the lower bound, but do include the upper bound sentence length. For example, the category 'Up to 2 years' includes sentence lengths less than or equal to 2 years, and '2 to 4' includes sentence lengths over 2 years, and up to and including 4 years.
- 4) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is 14 years. In 2020 the longest post-guilty plea determinate sentence given was 12 years.

Table 6.1: Number of adult offenders sentenced for disclosing private sexual images, all courts, 2015-2021<sup>1</sup>

Court	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>2</sup>	2021
Magistrates' court	57	190	195	132	113	99	138
Crown Court	5	36	49	49	61	58	58
Total	62	226	244	181	174	157	196

Court	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>2</sup>	2021
Magistrates' court	92%	84%	80%	73%	65%	63%	70%
Crown Court	8%	16%	20%	27%	35%	37%	30%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

1) This offence came into force in 2015 and the legislation was amended in 2021 to also include threats to disclose private sexual images. Therefore, tables for this offence are presented for the years 2015-2021. Currently, there is no way of disaggregating the threats to disclose offences from the substantive offence using the data, so the figures for 2021 may include some threats to disclose offences (if there were any).

2) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 6.2: Number and proportion of adult offenders sentenced for disclosing private sexual images, by sentence outcome, 2015-2021<sup>1,2</sup>

Outcome	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>	2021
Conditional discharge	1	10	10	4	7	5	8
Fine	4	16	13	6	7	5	5
Community sentence	23	59	77	62	63	46	63
Suspended sentence	18	85	98	68	56	63	84
Immediate custody	16	52	45	40	41	37	35
Otherwise dealt with	0	4	1	1	0	1	1
Total	62	226	244	181	174	157	196

Outcome	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>	2021
Conditional discharge	2%	4%	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%
Fine	6%	7%	5%	3%	4%	3%	3%
Community sentence	37%	26%	32%	34%	36%	29%	32%
Suspended sentence	29%	38%	40%	38%	32%	40%	43%
Immediate custody	26%	23%	18%	22%	24%	24%	18%
Otherwise dealt with	0%	2%	<0.5%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

<sup>1)</sup> This offence came into force in 2015 and the legislation was amended in 2021 to also include threats to disclose private sexual images. Therefore, tables for this offence are presented for the years 2015-2021. Currently, there is no way of disaggregating the threats to disclose offences from the substantive offence using the data, so the figures for 2021 may include some threats to disclose offences (if there were any).

<sup>2)</sup> The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is 2 years' custody.

<sup>3)</sup> Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 6.3: Average custodial sentence lengths (ACSL) received by adult offenders sentenced for disclosing private sexual images, 2015-2021<sup>1,2</sup>

Estimated pre-guilty plea

ACSL (months)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>	2021
Mean	7.3	7.6	7.9	8.4	8.7	9.6	9.3
Median	5.6	5.6	6.7	6.9	6.7	9.0	8.0

Post-guilty plea

ACSL (months)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>3</sup>	2021
Mean	5.2	5.5	5.7	6.1	6.3	6.9	6.8
Median	3.7	3.9	5.0	5.2	6.0	6.0	6.0

- 1) This offence came into force in 2015 and the legislation was amended in 2021 to also include threats to disclose private sexual images. Therefore, tables for this offence are presented for the years 2015-2021. Currently, there is no way of disaggregating the threats to disclose offences from the substantive offence using the data, so the figures for 2021 may include some threats to disclose offences (if there were any).
- 2) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is 2 years' custody.
- 3) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.

Table 6.4a: Estimated pre-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for disclosing private sexual images, 2015-2021 1,2

Sentence length (months) <sup>3</sup>	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>	2021
Up to 3 months	3	9	11	4	3	2	3
3 to 6	7	21	7	13	16	12	12
6 to 9	4	12	17	13	8	9	7
9 to 12	1	2	1	2	5	2	5
12 to 15	0	2	6	2	4	7	2
15 to 18	0	4	0	4	4	3	3
18 to 21	0	0	2	1	1	2	2
21 to 24	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Greater than 24 months <sup>5</sup>	1	1	0	1	0	0	1
Total	16	52	45	40	41	37	35

Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Greater than 24 months <sup>5</sup>	6%	2%	0%	3%	0%	0%	3%
21 to 24	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%
18 to 21	0%	0%	4%	3%	2%	5%	6%
15 to 18	0%	8%	0%	10%	10%	8%	9%
12 to 15	0%	4%	13%	5%	10%	19%	6%
9 to 12	6%	4%	2%	5%	12%	5%	14%
6 to 9	25%	23%	38%	33%	20%	24%	20%
3 to 6	44%	40%	16%	33%	39%	32%	34%
Up to 3 months	19%	17%	24%	10%	7%	5%	9%
Sentence length (months) <sup>3</sup>	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>	2021

- 2) The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is 2 years' custody.
- 3) Sentence length intervals do not include the lower bound, but do include the upper bound sentence length. For example, the category 'Up to 3 months' includes sentence lengths less than or equal to 3 months, and '3 to 6' includes sentence lengths over 3 months, and up to and including 6 months.
- 4) Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.
- 5) While these sentences appear to exceed the statutory maximum, they are estimates only; there are no post-guilty plea sentence lengths exceeding the statutory maximum.

<sup>1)</sup> This offence came into force in 2015 and the legislation was amended in 2021 to also include threats to disclose private sexual images. Therefore, tables for this offence are presented for the years 2015-2021. Currently, there is no way of disaggregating the threats to disclose offences from the substantive offence using the data, so the figures for 2021 may include some threats to disclose offences (if there were any).

Table 6.4b: Post-guilty plea sentence lengths received by adult offenders sentenced to immediate custody for disclosing private sexual images, 2015-2021/2

Sentence length (months) <sup>3</sup>	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>	2021
Up to 3 months	5	17	12	11	11	6	12
3 to 6	8	25	22	19	14	17	10
6 to 9	2	2	4	2	9	3	4
9 to 12	0	6	3	6	5	8	5
12 to 15	0	1	3	1	1	3	2
15 to 18	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
18 to 21	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
21 to 24	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total	16	52	45	40	41	37	35

Sentence length (months) <sup>3</sup>	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>4</sup>	2021
Up to 3 months	31%	33%	27%	28%	27%	16%	34%
3 to 6	50%	48%	49%	48%	34%	46%	29%
6 to 9	13%	4%	9%	5%	22%	8%	11%
9 to 12	0%	12%	7%	15%	12%	22%	14%
12 to 15	0%	2%	7%	3%	2%	8%	6%
15 to 18	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	6%
18 to 21	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%
21 to 24	6%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

<sup>1)</sup> This offence came into force in 2015 and the legislation was amended in 2021 to also include threats to disclose private sexual images. Therefore, tables for this offence are presented for the years 2015-2021. Currently, there is no way of disaggregating the threats to disclose offences from the substantive offence using the data, so the figures for 2021 may include some threats to disclose offences (if there were any).

<sup>2)</sup> The statutory maximum sentence for this offence is 2 years' custody. In 2021 the longest post-guilty plea determinate sentence given was 18 months.

<sup>3)</sup> Sentence length intervals do not include the lower bound, but do include the upper bound sentence length. For example, the category 'Up to 3 months' includes sentence lengths less than or equal to 3 months, and '3 to 6' includes sentence lengths over 3 months, and up to and including 6 months.

<sup>4)</sup> Figures presented for 2020 include the time period since March 2020 in which restrictions were placed on the criminal justice system due to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is therefore possible that these figures may reflect the impact of the pandemic on court processes and prioritisation and the subsequent recovery, rather than a continuation of the longer-term series, so care should be taken when interpreting these figures.



# **Annex C**

# Kidnap False Imprisonment

**Common Law** 

Triable only on indictment

**Maximum: Life Imprisonment** 

**Kidnap** 

Offence range: x - xx years' custody

**False Imprisonment** 

Offence range: x-xx years' custody

These are <u>Schedule 19</u> offences for the purposes of sections <u>274</u> and <u>285</u> (required life sentence for offence carrying life sentence) of the Sentencing Code.

These are specified offences for the purposes of sections <u>266</u> and <u>279</u> (extended sentence for certain violent, sexual or terrorism offences) of the Sentencing Code.

Guideline users should be aware that the <u>Equal Treatment Bench Book</u> covers important aspects of fair treatment and disparity of outcomes for different groups in the criminal justice system. It provides guidance which sentencers are encouraged to take into account wherever applicable, to ensure that there is fairness for all involved in court proceedings.

## STEP ONE

# **Determining the offence category**

The court should determine the offence category with reference only to the factors in the table below. In order to determine the category the court should assess **culpability** and **harm.** 

The level of **culpability** is determined by weighing up all the factors of the case. Where there are characteristics present which fall under different levels of culpability the court should balance these characteristics to reach a fair assessment of the offender's culpability.

Demonstrated by one o	CULPABILITY r more of the following:
A- High Culpability	<ul> <li>Detention over a substantial period of time</li> <li>Sophisticated and/or planned nature of conduct</li> <li>Offence was committed as part of a group</li> <li>Deliberate targeting of particularly vulnerable victim</li> <li>Use of violence and /or use of a weapon</li> </ul>
B- Medium culpability	<ul> <li>Threat of violence to victim and/or others</li> <li>Other cases that fall between categories A and C because:         <ul> <li>Factors are present in A and C which balance each other out and/or</li> <li>The offender's culpability falls between the factors described in A and C</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
C- Lower culpability	<ul> <li>Limited in scope and duration</li> <li>Involved through coercion, intimidation or exploitation</li> <li>Offender's responsibility substantially reduced by mental disorder or learning disability</li> </ul>

HARM					
The level of harm is	assessed by weighing up all the factors in the case.				
Category 1	<ul> <li>Serious distress and or psychological harm caused to the victim and/or others</li> <li>Serious injury caused to the victim</li> <li>Use of torture, humiliation or degrading treatment</li> <li>Victim forcibly restrained</li> <li>Ransom demands made for a substantial amount</li> </ul>				
Category 2	<ul> <li>Some distress and/or psychological harm caused to the victim and/or others</li> <li>Some injury caused to the victim</li> <li>Threat of torture</li> <li>Ransom demands made for a considerable amount</li> </ul>				
Category 3	<ul> <li>Limited effects of the offence</li> <li>Ransom demands made for a small amount</li> </ul>				

# **STEP TWO**

Starting point and category range

# **KIDNAP OFFENCES**

Having determined the category at step one, the court should use the corresponding starting point to reach a sentence within the category range below. The starting point applies to all offenders irrespective of plea or previous convictions

Harm		Culpability	
	Α	В	С
Category 1	Starting Point 11 years' custody	Starting Point 8 years' custody	Starting Point 6 years' custody
	Category Range 8 – 16 years' custody	Category Range 5 -10 years' custody	Category Range 3 - 8 years' custody
Category 2	Starting Point 8 years' custody	Starting Point 6 years' custody	Starting Point 3 years' custody
	Category Range	Category Range	Category Range
	5 -10 years' custody	3 -8 years' custody	2- 4 years' custody
Category 3	Starting Point 6 years' custody	Starting Point 3 years' custody	Starting Point 1 years' custody
	Category Range	Category Range	Category Range
	3 – 8 years' custody	2- 4 years' custody	6 months' - 3 year's custody

# FALSE IMPRISONMENT OFFENCES

Having determined the category at step one, the court should use the corresponding starting point to reach a sentence within the category range below. The starting point applies to all offenders irrespective of plea or previous convictions

tody ange C	B Starting Point 6 years' custody Category Range -8 years' custody	C Starting Point 4 years' custody Category Range 2- 6 years' custody
tody ange C	6 years' custody  Category Range	4 years' custody  Category Range
rs' 4	-8 years' custody	2- 6 years' custody
		= 2 ,252 25.204)
	Starting Point 4 years' custody	Starting Point 2 years' custody
ange C	Category Range	Category Range
stody 2	? -6 years' custody	1- 4 years' custody
	Starting Point 2 years' custody	Starting Point 1 years' custody
ange C	Category Range	Category Range
rs' 1	-4 years' custody	6 months' - 2 year's custody
֡	nody nge stody 2  pint cody nge	4 years' custody Category Range stody 2 -6 years' custody  Sint Cody 2 years' custody Category Range Category Range

[Where another offence or offences arise out of the same incident or facts concurrent sentences **reflecting the overall criminality** of offending will ordinarily be appropriate: please refer to the Totality guideline and step five of this guideline.]

Below is a **non-exhaustive** list of additional elements providing the context of the offence and factors relating to the offender. Identify whether a combination of these or other relevant factors should result in any upward or downward adjustment from the sentence arrived at so far.

## **Factors increasing seriousness**

Statutory aggravating factors:

- Previous convictions, having regard to a) the nature of the offence to which the
  conviction relates and its relevance to the current offence; and b) the time that
  has elapsed since the conviction
- Offence committed whilst on bail
- Offence was committed against an emergency worker acting in the exercise of functions as such a worker (kidnap only)

# Other aggravating factors:

- Offence committed in context of/in connection with other criminal activity
- Detention in an isolated location
- Blame wrongly placed on others
- Offence committed in a domestic context (where not taken into account at step one)
- Commission of offence whilst under the influence of alcohol or drugs
- · Leading role in group
- Abuse of trust or dominant position
- · Offender involves others in the conduct
- Offence committed on licence or while subject to court order(s)

## Factors reducing seriousness or reflecting personal mitigation

- No previous convictions or no relevant/recent convictions
- Remorse
- The offender was in a lesser or subordinate role if acting with others/performed limited role under direction
- Good character and/or exemplary conduct
- Co-operation with the investigation/early admissions
- Physical disability or serious medical conditions requiring urgent, intensive or long-term treatment
- Mental disorder or learning disability (where not taken into account at step 1)
- Age and/or lack of maturity
- Sole or primary carer for dependent relatives
- Determination and/or demonstration of steps having been taken to address addiction or offending behaviour

## STEP 3

# Consider any factors which indicate a reduction for assistance to the prosecution

The court should take into account <u>section 74 of the Sentencing Code</u> (reduction in sentence for assistance to prosecution) and any other rule of law by virtue of which an offender may receive a discounted sentence in consequence of assistance given (or offered) to the prosecutor or investigator.

## STEP 4

## Reduction for guilty pleas

The court should take account of any potential reduction for a guilty plea in accordance with <u>section 73 of the Sentencing Code</u> and the <u>Reduction in Sentence</u> for a Guilty Plea guideline.

#### STEP 5

# **Dangerousness**

The court should consider whether having regard to the criteria contained in <u>Chapter 6 of Part 10</u> of the Sentencing Code it would be appropriate to impose a life sentence (sections <u>274</u> and <u>285</u>) or an extended sentence (sections <u>266</u> and <u>279</u>)

When sentencing offenders to a life sentence under these provisions, the notional determinate sentence should be used as the basis for the setting of a minimum term.

#### STEP 6

## **Totality principle**

If sentencing an offender for more than one offence, or where the offender is already serving a sentence, consider whether the total sentence is just and proportionate to the overall offending behaviour in accordance with the <u>Totality</u> guideline.

# STEP 7

#### Compensation and ancillary orders

Where the offence has resulted in loss or damage the court must consider whether to make a compensation order and must give reasons if it does not do so (<u>section 55 of the Sentencing Code</u>).

# STEP 8

#### Reasons

<u>Section 52 of the Sentencing Code</u> imposes a duty to give reasons for, and explain the effect of, the sentence.

# STEP 9

## Consideration for time spent on bail (tagged curfew)

The court must consider whether to give credit for time spent on bail in accordance with section 240A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and <u>section 325 of the Sentencing Code</u>.