

Arranging or facilitating the commission of a child sex offence - for consultation only

Sexual Offences Act 2003, s.14

Effective from: XXXXXXXXX

Triable either way

Maximum: 14 years' custody

For offences committed on or after 3 December 2012, these are offences listed in Part 1 of Schedule 15 for the purposes of sections 273 and 283 (life sentence for second listed offence) of the Sentencing Code.

These are **specified offences** for the purposes of sections 266 and 279 (extended sentence of imprisonment for certain violent, sexual or terrorism offences) of the Sentencing Code.

When sentencing a section 14 offence, sentencers should refer to the guideline for the applicable, substantive offence of arranging or facilitating under sections 9 to 12:

- [Sexual activity with a child](#), Sexual Offences Act 2003, s.9
- [Causing or inciting a child to engage in sexual activity](#), Sexual Offences Act 2003, s.10
- [Engaging in sexual activity in the presence of a child](#), Sexual Offences Act 2003, s.11
- [Causing a child to watch a sexual act](#), Sexual Offences Act 2003, s.12

The level of harm should be determined by reference to the type of activity arranged or facilitated. Where the activity takes place, sentences commensurate with the applicable starting point and range will ordinarily be appropriate.

No sexual activity need take place for a section 14 offence to be committed (including in instances where no child victim exists). In such cases the court should identify the category of harm on the basis of the sexual activity the offender intended, and then apply a downward adjustment at step two to reflect the fact that no or lesser harm actually resulted.

The extent of this adjustment will be specific to the facts of the case. In cases where an offender is only prevented by the police or others from conducting the intended sexual activity at a late stage, or where a child victim does not exist and, but for this fact, the offender would have carried out the intended sexual activity, **only** a **very** small reduction within the category range will usually be appropriate.

Where, for instance, an offender voluntarily desisted at an early stage a larger reduction is likely to be appropriate, potentially going outside the category range.

In either instance, it may be the case that a more severe sentence is imposed in a case where very serious sexual activity was intended but did not take place than in a case where relatively less serious sexual activity did take place.

The sentence will then be subject to further adjustment for aggravating and mitigating features, in the usual way.

For offences involving significant commercial exploitation and/or an international element, it may be appropriate to increase a sentence to a point above the category range. In exceptional cases, such as where a vulnerable offender performed a limited role, having been coerced or exploited by others, sentences below the range may be appropriate.

Other “causing or inciting” offences text

In section 10 cases where activity is incited but does not take place the court should identify the category of harm on the basis of the sexual activity the offender intended, and then apply a downward adjustment at step two to reflect the fact that no or lesser harm actually resulted.

The extent of downward adjustment will be specific to the facts of the case. Where an offender is only prevented by the police or others from carrying out the offence at a late stage, or in attempts where a [child] victim does not exist and, but for this fact, the offender would have carried out the offence, **only** a **very** small reduction within the category range will usually be appropriate. No additional reduction should be made for the fact that the offending is an attempt.

Where, for instance, an offender voluntarily desisted at an early stage a larger reduction is likely to be appropriate, potentially going outside the category range.

In either instance, it may be the case that a more severe sentence is imposed in a case where very serious sexual activity was intended but did not take place than in a case where relatively less serious sexual activity did take place.

The sentence will then be subject to further adjustment for aggravating and mitigating features.

Significant psychological harm - dropdown text

The sentence levels in this guideline take into account a basic level of psychological harm which is inherent in the nature of the offence. The assessment of psychological harm experienced by the victim beyond this is for the sentencer. Whilst the court may be assisted by expert evidence, such evidence is not necessary for a finding of psychological harm, including severe psychological harm. A sentencer may assess that such harm has been suffered on the basis of evidence from the victim, including evidence contained in a Victim Personal Statement (VPS), or on his or her observation of the victim whilst giving evidence. It is important to be clear that the absence of such a finding does not imply that the psychological harm suffered by the victim is minor or trivial.

Abuse of trust - dropdown text (no change)

A close examination of the facts is necessary and a clear justification should be given if abuse of trust is to be found.

In order for an abuse of trust to make an offence more serious the relationship between the offender and victim(s) must be one that would give rise to the offender having a significant level of responsibility towards the victim(s) on which the victim(s) would be entitled to rely.

Abuse of trust may occur in many factual situations. Examples may include relationships such as teacher and pupil, parent and child, employer and employee, professional adviser and client, or carer (whether paid or unpaid) and dependant. It may also include ad hoc situations such as a late-night taxi driver and a lone passenger. These examples are not exhaustive and do not necessarily indicate that abuse of trust is present.

Additionally an offence may be made more serious where an offender has abused their position to facilitate and/or conceal offending.

Where an offender has been given an inappropriate level of responsibility, abuse of trust is unlikely to apply.

Overseas victims/remote offending text

Sentencers should approach the assessment of seriousness in the same way regardless of whether ~~draw no distinction between~~ activity was caused/incited in person or remotely and ~~activity caused or incited remotely, nor between the~~ regardless of whether harm was caused to a victim in this jurisdiction ~~and that caused~~ or to a victim anywhere else in the world.

Sexual Harm Prevention Order text

Sexual harm prevention orders (SHPOs) Sexual Offences Act 2003, s103A

To make an SHPO, the court must be satisfied that the offender presents a risk of sexual harm to the public (or particular members of the public) and that an order is necessary to protect against this risk. The only prohibitions which can be imposed by an SHPO are those which are necessary for the purpose of protecting the public from sexual harm from the offender. ~~The order may include only negative prohibitions; there is no power to impose positive obligations.~~

The order may have effect for a fixed period (not less than five years) or until further order, ~~with the exception of a foreign travel prohibition which must be a fixed period of no more than five years (renewable). Different time periods may be specified for individual restrictions and requirements.~~

~~Where an SHPO is made in respect of an offender who is already subject to an SHPO, the earlier SHPO ceases to have effect. If the offender is already subject to a Sexual Offences Prevention Order or Foreign Travel Order made in Scotland or Northern Ireland, that order ceases to have effect unless the court orders otherwise.~~

~~Chapter 2 of Part 11 of the Sentencing Code [LINK] sets out further matters related to making SHPOs.~~

Approach to sentencing historical sexual offences

When sentencing sexual offences under the Sexual Offences Act 1956, or other legislation pre-dating the 2003 Act, the court should apply the following principles:^[1]

1. The offender must be sentenced in accordance with the sentencing regime applicable at the date of sentence. Under sections 57 and 63 of the Sentencing Code the court must have regard to the statutory purposes of sentencing and must base the sentencing exercise on its assessment of the seriousness of the offence.
2. The sentence is limited to the maximum sentence available at the date of the commission of the offence. If the maximum sentence has been reduced, the lower maximum will be applicable.
3. The court should sentence by **measured** reference to any applicable sentencing guidelines for equivalent offences under the Sexual Offences Act 2003. Where the offence, if committed on the day on which the offender was convicted, would have constituted an offence contrary to section 5 or section 6 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003, sections 265 and 278 of the Sentencing Code (special custodial sentence for certain offenders of particular concern) apply.
4. The seriousness of the offence, assessed by the culpability of the offender and the harm caused or intended, is the main consideration for the court. The court should not seek to establish the likely sentence had the offender been convicted shortly after the date of the offence.
5. When assessing the culpability of the offender, the court should have regard to relevant culpability factors set out in any applicable guideline.
6. The court must assess carefully the harm done to the victim based on the facts available to it, having regard to relevant harm factors set out in any applicable guideline. Consideration of the circumstances which brought the offence to light will be of importance.
7. The court must consider the relevance of the passage of time carefully as it has the potential to aggravate or mitigate the

seriousness of the offence. It will be an aggravating factor where the offender has continued to commit sexual offences against the victim or others or has continued to prevent the victim reporting the offence.

8. Where there is an absence of further offending over a long period of time, especially combined with evidence of good character, this may be treated by the court as a mitigating factor. However, as with offences dealt with under the Sexual Offences Act 2003, previous good character/exemplary conduct is different from having no previous convictions. The more serious the offence, the less the weight which should normally be attributed to this factor. Where previous good character/exemplary conduct has been used to facilitate the offence, this mitigation should not normally be allowed and such conduct may constitute an aggravating factor.
9. If the offender was very young and immature at the time of the offence, depending on the circumstances of the offence, this may be **be regarded as mitigation significantly reduce affecting** the offender's culpability.
10. If the offender made admissions at the time of the offence that were not investigated this is likely to be regarded as personal mitigation. Even greater mitigation is available to the offender who reported himself to the police and/or made early admissions.
11. A reduction for an early guilty plea should be made in the usual manner.

[1] R v H and others [2011] EWCA Crim 2753

Sexual communication with a child

Sexual Offences Act 2003, s.15A

Effective from: XXXXXXXXXX

Triable either way

Maximum: 2 years' custody

Offence range: Community order – 2 years' custody

This is a **specified offence** for the purposes of sections 266 and 279 (extended sentence of imprisonment for certain violent, sexual or terrorism offences) of the Sentencing Code.

Step 1 – Determining the offence category

The court should determine which categories of harm and culpability the offence falls into by reference only to the tables below.

Harm

Use the factors given in the table below to identify the Harm category. If the offence involved multiple victims, sentencers may consider moving up a harm category or moving up substantially within a category range.

In cases of attempts where an offender tries to communicate with a child victim who does not exist, the court should identify the category of harm on the basis of the sexual activity the offender intended, and then apply a downward adjustment at step two to reflect the fact that no or lesser harm has actually resulted. In such cases **only a very** small reduction within the category range will usually be appropriate. No additional reduction should be made for the fact that the offending is an attempt.

Category 1

- Sexual images **or digital media** sent or received
- Significant psychological harm or distress caused, **or very likely to have been caused**, to victim/intended victim.

Category 2

- Factor(s) in category 1 not present

Culpability

Culpability A

- Abuse of trust

- Use of threats (including blackmail), **gifts or bribes**
- Targeting of a particularly vulnerable child
- Commercial exploitation and/or motivation
- Soliciting images
- **Offender acted together with others to commit the offence**

Culpability B

- Factor(s) in category A not present

Step 2 – Starting point and category range

Having determined the category of harm and culpability, the court should use the corresponding starting points to reach a sentence within the category range below. The starting point applies to all offenders irrespective of plea or previous convictions. Having determined the starting point, step two allows further adjustment for aggravating or mitigating features, set out below.

A case of particular gravity, reflected by multiple features of culpability or harm in step one, could merit upward adjustment from the starting point before further adjustment for aggravating or mitigating features, set out below.

Where there is a sufficient prospect of rehabilitation, a community order with a sex offender treatment programme requirement under Part 3 of Schedule 9 to the Sentencing Code can be a proper alternative to a short or moderate length custodial sentence.

	Culpability A	Culpability B
Harm category 1	<p>Starting point 18 months' custody</p> <p>Category range 9 – 24 months' custody</p>	<p>Starting point 1 year's custody</p> <p>Category range High level community order – 18 months' custody</p>
Harm category 2	<p>Starting point 1 year's custody</p> <p>Category range High level community order – 18 months' custody</p>	<p>Starting point 6 months' custody</p> <p>Category range Medium level community order – 1 year's custody</p>

The table below contains a **non-exhaustive** list of additional factual elements providing the context of the offence and factors relating to the offender. Identify whether any combination of these, or other relevant factors, should result in an upward or downward adjustment from the starting point. **In particular, relevant recent convictions are likely to result in an upward adjustment.**

Aggravating factors

Statutory aggravating factors

- Previous convictions, having regard to a) the nature of the offence to which the conviction relates and its relevance to the current offence; and b) the time that has elapsed since the conviction
- Offence committed whilst on bail
- Offence motivated by, or demonstrating hostility based on any of the following characteristics or presumed characteristics of the victim: religion, race, disability, sexual orientation or transgender identity

Other aggravating factors

- Failure to comply with current court orders
- Offence committed whilst on licence
- Financial or other reward offered to victim
- Offender lied about age or used a false identity
- **Substantial disparity between age of offender and victim/intended victim**
- Any steps taken to prevent the victim reporting an incident, obtaining assistance and/or from assisting or supporting the prosecution
- Attempts to dispose of or conceal evidence (**including asking the victim to conceal the offending**)
- Failure of offender to respond to previous warnings
- ~~Commission of offence whilst under the influence of alcohol or drugs~~
- Victim encouraged to recruit others
- Victim particularly vulnerable (where not taken into account at step one)
- Offence involved sustained or persistent communication

Mitigating factors

- No previous convictions or no relevant/recent convictions
- Remorse
- Previous good character and/or exemplary conduct*
- Isolated offence
- Age and/or lack of maturity
- **Demonstration of steps taken to address offending behaviour**

- Mental disorder or learning disability, particularly where linked to the commission of the offence
- Physical disability or serious medical condition requiring urgent, intensive or long-term treatment

* Previous good character/exemplary conduct is different from having no previous convictions. The more serious the offence, the less the weight which should normally be attributed to this factor. Where previous good character/exemplary conduct has been used to facilitate the offence, this mitigation should not normally be allowed and such conduct may constitute an aggravating factor.

Step 3 – Consider any factors which indicate a reduction, such as assistance to the prosecution

The court should take into account section 74 of the Sentencing Code (assistance by defendants: reduction or review of sentence) and any other rule of law by virtue of which an offender may receive a discounted sentence in consequence of assistance given (or offered) to the prosecutor or investigator.

Step 4 – Reduction for guilty pleas

The court should take account of any potential reduction for a guilty plea in accordance with section 73 of the Sentencing Code and the Reduction in Sentence for a Guilty Plea guideline.

Step 5 – Dangerousness

The court should consider whether having regard to the criteria contained in Chapter 6 of Part 10 of the Sentencing Code it would be appropriate to impose an extended sentence (sections 266 and 279).

Step 6 – Totality principle

If sentencing an offender for more than one offence, or where the offender is already serving a sentence, consider whether the total sentence is just and proportionate to the offending behaviour. See Totality guideline.

Step 7 – Ancillary Orders

The court must consider whether to make any ancillary orders. The court must also consider what other requirements or provisions may automatically apply.

- Ancillary orders – Crown Court Compendium

Additional ancillary orders – sexual offences

Step 8 – Reasons

Section 52 of the Sentencing Code imposes a duty to give reasons for, and explain the effect of, the sentence.

Step 9 – Consideration for time spent on bail (tagged curfew)

The court must consider whether to give credit for time spent on bail in accordance with section 240A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and section 325 of the Sentencing Code.