Annex E

Criminal damage (other than by fire) value over £5,000

Criminal Damage Act 1971, s.1 (1)

Triable either way

Maximum when tried summarily: Level 5 fine and/or 6 months Maximum when tried on indictment: 10 years

Offence range: Discharge – 4 years' custody

Racially or religiously aggravated criminal damage

Crime and Disorder Act 1998, s.30

Triable either way

Maximum when tried summarily: Level 5 fine and/or 6 months

Maximum when tried on indictment: 14 years

Note:

Where an offence of criminal damage is added to the indictment at the Crown Court the statutory maximum sentence is 10 years' custody regardless of the value of the damage. In such cases where the value is under £5,000 regard should also be had to the under £5,000 guideline.

Where offence committed in a domestic context, also refer to the *Domestic Abuse: Overarching Principles* guideline

STEP ONE

Determining the offence category

The court should determine the offence category with reference only to the factors in the tables below. In order to determine the category the court should assess **culpability** and **harm**.

The level of culpability is determined by weighing up all the factors of the case. Where there are characteristics present which fall under different levels of culpability, the court should balance these characteristics to reach a fair assessment of the offender's culpability.

Culpability demonstrated by one or more of the following:

A - High culpability:

- High degree of planning or premeditation
- Revenge attack
- Intention to cause very serious damage to property
- Intention to create a high risk of injury to persons

B - Medium culpability:

- Cases that fall between categories A and C because:
- Factors are present in A and C which balance each other out and/or
- The offender's culpability falls between the factors described in A and C
- Some planning
- Recklessness as to whether very serious damage to property caused
 Recklessness as to whether serious injury to persons caused

C - Lesser culpability:

- Little or no planning; offence committed on impulse
- Recklessness as to whether some damage to property caused
- Offender's responsibility substantially reduced by mental disorder or learning disability
- Involved through coercion, intimidation or exploitation

Harm

The level of harm is assessed by weighing up all the factors of the case.

Category 1

- Serious distress caused
- Serious consequential economic or social impact of offence
- High value of damage

Category 2

Harm that falls between categories 1 and 3

Category 3

- No or minimal distress caused
- Low value damage

STEP TWO

Starting point and category range

Having determined the category at step one, the court should use the corresponding starting point to reach a sentence within the category range below. The starting point applies to all offenders irrespective of plea or previous convictions.

Maximum when tried on indictment: 10 years' custody

| Harm | | Culpability | |
|------------|--|---|---|
| | Α | В | С |
| Category 1 | Starting point 1 year 6 months' custody | Starting point 6 months' custody | Starting point High level Community order |
| | Category range 6 months to 4 years' custody | Category range High level Community order to 1 year 6 months' custody | Category range Medium Level community order – 1 years' custody |
| Category 2 | Starting point 6 months' custody | Starting point High level community order | Starting point Low level Community order |
| | Category range High level Community order- 1 year 6 months' custody | Category range Medium level community order-1 year's custody | Category range Band C fine-High level Community order |
| Category 3 | Starting point High level Community order | Starting point Low level Community order | Starting point Band B fine |
| | Category range Medium level Community order- 1 year's custody | Category range Band C fine- High level Community order | Category range Discharge- Low level Community order |

The court should then consider any adjustment for any aggravating or mitigating factors. Below is a **non-exhaustive** list of additional factual elements providing the context of the offence and factors relating to the offender.

Identify whether any combination of these, or other relevant factors, should result in an upward or downward adjustment from the starting point.

Factors increasing seriousness

Statutory aggravating factors:

- **A1.** Previous convictions, having regard to a) the nature of the offence to which the conviction relates and its relevance to the current offence; and b) the time that has elapsed since the conviction
- A2. Offence committed whilst on bail
- **A3**. Offence motivated by, or demonstrating hostility based on any of the following characteristics or presumed characteristics of the victim: disability, sexual orientation, or transgender identity.

Other aggravating factors:

- **A4**. <u>Damaged items of great value to the victim (whether economic, commercial, sentimental or personal value)</u>
- A5 Commission of offence whilst under the influence of alcohol or drugs
- A6. Victim is particularly vulnerable
- A7. Damage caused to heritage and or cultural assets
- A8. Established evidence of community/wider impact
- A9. Failure to comply with current court orders
- A10. Offence committed on licence or post sentence supervision
- A11. Offences taken into consideration

Factors reducing seriousness or reflecting personal mitigation

- M1. No previous convictions or no relevant/recent convictions
- M2. Remorse
- M3. Good character and/or exemplary conduct
- M4. Serious medical condition requiring urgent, intensive or long-term treatment
- M5. Age and/or lack of maturity where it affects the responsibility of the offender
- **M6**. Sole or primary carer for dependent relatives
- **M7**. Determination and/or demonstration of steps having been taken to address addiction or offending behaviour

RACIALLY OR RELIGIOUSLY AGGRAVATED CRIMINAL DAMAGE OFFENCES ONLY

Having determined the category of the basic offence to identify the sentence of a non aggravated offence, the court should now consider the level of racial or religious aggravation involved and apply an appropriate uplift to the sentence in accordance with the guidance below. The following is a list of factors which the court should consider to determine the level of aggravation. Where there are characteristics present which fall under different levels of aggravation, the court should balance these to reach a fair assessment of the level of aggravation present in the offence.

Maximum sentence for the aggravated offence on indictment is 14 years' custody (maximum when tried summarily is a level 5 fine and/or 6 months)

Care should be taken to avoid double counting factors already taken into account in assessing the level of harm at step one

| | HIGH LEVEL OF RACIAL OR | SENTENCE UPLIFT |
|---|--|---|
| | RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION | |
| | | |
| • | Racial or religious aggravation was | Increase the length of custodial |
| | the predominant motivation for the | sentence if already considered for the |
| | offence. | basic offence or consider a custodial |
| • | Offender was a member of, or was | sentence, if not already considered for |
| | associated with, a group promoting | the basic offence. |
| | hostility based on race or religion. | |
| • | Aggravated nature of the offence | |
| | caused severe distress to the | |
| | victim or the victim's family (over | |
| | and above the distress already | |
| | considered at step one). | |
| • | Aggravated nature of the offence | |
| | caused serious fear and distress | |
| | throughout local community or more | |
| | widely. | |
| | MEDIUM LEVEL OF RACIAL OR | SENTENCE UPLIFT |
| | RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION | |
| | Pagial or religious aggregation | Consider a significantly more energy |
| • | Racial or religious aggravation | Consider a significantly more onerous |
| | formed a significant proportion of the | penalty of the same type <u>or consider</u> a |
| | offence as a whole. | |

| - | Aggravated nature of the offence | more severe type of sentence than for |
|---|--|---|
| | caused some distress to the | the basic offence. |
| | victim or the victim's family (over | |
| | and above the distress already | |
| | considered at step one). | |
| - | Aggravated nature of the offence | |
| | caused some fear and distress | |
| | throughout local community or more | |
| | widely. | |
| | | |
| | LOW LEVEL OF RACIAL OR | SENTENCE UPLIFT |
| | | |
| | RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION | |
| | | |
| • | | Consider a more onerous penalty of the |
| - | RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION | Consider a more onerous penalty of the same type identified for the basic |
| • | RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION Aggravated element formed a | |
| • | Aggravated element formed a minimal part of the offence as a | same type identified for the basic |
| • | Aggravated element formed a minimal part of the offence as a whole. | same type identified for the basic |
| • | Aggravated element formed a minimal part of the offence as a whole. Aggravated nature of the offence | same type identified for the basic |
| • | Aggravated element formed a minimal part of the offence as a whole. Aggravated nature of the offence caused minimal or no distress to the | same type identified for the basic |
| | Aggravated element formed a minimal part of the offence as a whole. Aggravated nature of the offence caused minimal or no distress to the victim or the victim's family (over | same type identified for the basic |

Magistrates may find that, although the appropriate sentence for the basic offence would be within their powers, the appropriate increase for the aggravated offence would result in a sentence in excess of their powers. If so, they must commit for sentence to the Crown Court.

The sentencer should state in open court that the offence was aggravated by reason of race or religion, and should also state what the sentence would have been without that element of aggravation.

STEP THREE

Consider any factors which indicate a reduction, such as assistance to the prosecution

The court should take into account sections 73 and 74 of the Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 2005 (assistance by defendants: reduction or review of sentence) and any other rule of law by virtue of which an offender may receive a discounted sentence in consequence of assistance given (or offered) to the prosecutor or investigator.

STEP FOUR

Reduction for guilty pleas

The court should take account of any potential reduction for a guilty plea in accordance with section 144 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and the *Guilty Plea* guideline.

STEP FIVE

Totality principle

If sentencing an offender for more than one offence, or where the offender is already serving a sentence, consider whether the total sentence is just and proportionate to the overall offending behaviour in accordance with the *Offences Taken into Consideration and Totality* guideline.

STEP SIX

Compensation and ancillary orders

In all cases, the court must consider whether to make a compensation order and/or other ancillary orders.

Compensation order

The court should consider compensation orders in all cases where personal injury, loss or damage has resulted from the offence. The court must give reasons if it decides not to award compensation in such cases.

STEP SEVEN

Reasons

Section 174 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 imposes a duty to give reasons for, and explain the effect of, the sentence.

STEP EIGHT

Consideration for time spent on bail

The court must consider whether to give credit for time spent on bail in accordance with section 240A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003.

Blank page