

General guideline

For sentencing offences for which there is no offence specific sentencing guideline

The General guideline may also be referred to when sentencing any offence for which the explanations in this guideline may be relevant.

Applicability of guideline

In accordance with section 120 of the Coroners and Justice Act 2009, the Sentencing Council issues this definitive guideline. It applies to all offenders aged 18 and older, who are sentenced on or after [date].

Section 125(1) of the Coroners and Justice Act 2009 provides that when sentencing offences committed on or after 6 April 2010:

“Every court –

- a. must, in sentencing an offender, follow any sentencing guideline which is relevant to the offender’s case, and
- b. must, in exercising any other function relating to the sentencing of offenders, follow any sentencing guidelines which are relevant to the exercise of the function,

unless the court is satisfied that it would be contrary to the interests of justice to do so.”

This guideline applies only to offenders aged 18 and older. General principles to be considered in the sentencing of youths are in the Sentencing Guidelines Council’s definitive guideline, [Sentencing children and young people - overarching principles](#).

STEP ONE – reaching a provisional sentence

- a) Where there is no definitive sentencing guideline for the offence, to arrive at a provisional sentence the court should take account of all of the following (if they apply):
- the statutory maximum sentence (and if appropriate minimum sentence) for the offence;
 - sentencing judgments of the Court of Appeal (Criminal Division) for the offence; and
 - definitive sentencing guidelines for analogous offences

The court will be assisted by the parties in identifying the above.

For the avoidance of doubt the court should **not** take account of any draft sentencing guidelines.

When considering definitive guidelines for analogous offences the court must apply these carefully, making adjustments for any differences in the statutory maximum sentence and in the elements of the offence. This will not be a merely arithmetical exercise.

- b) Where possible the court should follow the stepped approach of sentencing guidelines to arrive at the sentence.

The seriousness of the offence is assessed by considering:

- the **culpability** of the offender and
- the **harm** caused by the offending.

- c) The initial assessment of harm and culpability should take no account of plea or previous convictions.

The court should consider which of the five purposes of sentencing (below) it is seeking to achieve through the sentence that is imposed. More than one purpose might be relevant and the importance of each must be weighed against the particular offence and offender characteristics when determining sentence.


- the punishment of offenders
- the reduction of crime (including its reduction by deterrence)
- the reform and rehabilitation of offenders
- the protection of the public
- the making of reparation by offenders to persons affected by their offences

More information:


Culpability is assessed with reference to the offender’s role, level of intention and/or premeditation and the extent and sophistication of planning.

- The court should balance these factors to reach a fair assessment of the offender’s overall culpability in all the circumstances of the case and the offender.

- The mere presence of a factor that is inherent in the offence should not be used in assessing culpability.
- Deliberate or gratuitous violence, or damage to property, over and above what is needed to carry out the offence will normally indicate a higher level of culpability
- For offences where there is no requirement for the offender to have any level of intention, recklessness, negligence, dishonesty, knowledge, understanding or foresight for the offence to be made out, the range of culpability **may** be inferred from the circumstances of the offence as follows:

Highest level  Lowest level	Deliberate - intentional act or omission
	Reckless - acted or failed to act regardless of the foreseeable risk
	Negligent - failed to take steps to guard against the act or omission
	Low/no culpability - act or omission with none of the above features

- For offences that require some level of culpability (eg intention, recklessness or knowledge) to be made out, the range of culpability will be narrower. Relevant factors **may** typically include but are not limited to:


Highest level  Lowest level	High level of planning/ sophistication/ leading role
	Some planning/ significant role
	Little or no planning/ minor role

- These models of assessing culpability will not be applicable to all offences

Harm – which the offence caused, was intended to cause or might foreseeably have caused.

- There may be primary and secondary victims of an offence and, depending on the offence, victims may include one or more individuals, a community, the general public, the state, the environment and/or animal(s). In some cases there may not be an identifiable victim.
- An assessment of harm should generally reflect the overall impact of the offence upon the victim(s) and may include direct harm (including physical injury, psychological harm and financial loss) and consequential harm.
- When considering the value of property lost or damaged the court should also take account of any sentimental value to the victim(s) and any disruption caused to a victim’s life, activities or business.
- When considering harm to animals or the environment relevant considerations will include the impact on rare or endangered species or sensitive locations, and any suffering caused.
- Where harm was intended but no harm or a lower level of harm resulted – the sentence will normally be assessed with reference to the level of harm intended.
- Where the harm caused is greater than that intended - the sentence will normally be assessed with reference to the level of harm suffered by the victim.
- Dealing with a risk of harm involves consideration of both the likelihood of harm occurring and the extent of it if it does.
- Risk of harm is less serious than the same actual harm. Where the offence has caused risk of harm but no (or less) actual harm the normal approach is to move down to the next category of harm. This may not be appropriate if either the likelihood or extent of potential harm is particularly high.

- A Victim Personal Statement (VPS) or other impact statement may assist the court in assessing harm, but the absence of a VPS or other impact statement should not be taken to indicate the absence of harm.
- The court should balance these characteristics to reach a fair assessment of harm in the context of the circumstances of the offence

Highest level  Lowest level	Very serious harm caused to individual victim(s) or to wider public/ environment etc
	Serious harm caused OR high risk of very serious harm
	Significant harm caused OR high risk of serious harm
	Low/ no harm caused OR high risk significant harm

The table should be used in conjunction with the notes above and may not be applicable to all offences.

STEP TWO

Once a provisional sentence is arrived at the court should take into account factors that may make the offence more serious and factors which may reduce seriousness or reflect personal mitigation.

- Identify whether a combination of these or other relevant factors should result in any upward or downward adjustment from the sentence arrived at so far.
- It is for the sentencing court to determine how much weight should be assigned to the aggravating and mitigating factors taking into account all of the circumstances of the offence and the offender. Not all factors that apply will necessarily influence the sentence.
- When sentencing an offence for which a **fixed penalty notice [link to information below]** was available the reason why the offender did not take advantage of the fixed penalty will be a relevant consideration.
- **If considering a community or custodial sentence refer also to the *Imposition of community and custodial sentences* definitive guideline. [link to information below]**
- **If considering a fine – see information on fine bands [link to information below]**

Penalty notices may be issued as an alternative to prosecution in respect of a range of offences. An admission of guilt is not a prerequisite to issuing a penalty notice. An offender who is issued with a penalty notice may nevertheless be prosecuted for the offence if he or she:

- asks to be tried for the offence; or
- fails to pay the penalty within the period stipulated in the notice and the prosecutor decides to proceed with charges.

In some cases of non-payment, the penalty is automatically registered and enforceable as a fine without need for recourse to the courts. This procedure applies to penalty notices for disorder and fixed penalty notices issued in respect of certain road traffic offences but not to fixed penalty notices issued for most other criminal offences

When sentencing in cases in which a penalty notice was available:

- the fact that the offender did not take advantage of the penalty (whether that was by requesting a hearing or failing to pay within the specified timeframe) does not increase the seriousness of the offence and must not be regarded as an aggravating factor. The appropriate sentence must be determined in accordance with the sentencing principles set out in this guideline (including the amount of any fine, which must take an offender's financial circumstances into account), disregarding the availability of the penalty. In some cases this may result in a fine that is lower than the fixed penalty.
- where a penalty notice could not be offered or taken up for reasons unconnected with the offence itself, such as administrative difficulties outside the control of the offender, the starting point should be a fine equivalent to the amount of the penalty and no order of costs should be imposed. The offender should not be disadvantaged by the unavailability of the penalty notice in these circumstances.

Where an offender has had previous penalty notice(s), the fact that an offender has previously been issued with a penalty notice does not increase the seriousness of the current offence and must not be regarded as an aggravating factor. It may, however, properly influence the court's assessment of the offender's suitability for a particular sentence, so long as it remains within the limits established by the seriousness of the current offence.

[The information on fines, community orders and custodial sentences, aggravating and mitigating factors will be the same as for the expanded explanations set out in Annex A to paper 1]

STEP THREE

Consider any factors which indicate a reduction for assistance to the prosecution

The court should take into account sections 73 and 74 of the Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 2005 (assistance by defendants: reduction or review of sentence) and any other rule of law by virtue of which an offender may receive a discounted sentence in consequence of assistance given (or offered) to the prosecutor or investigator.

STEP FOUR

Reduction for guilty pleas

The court should take account of any potential reduction for a guilty plea in accordance with section 144 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and the *Guilty Plea* guideline.

STEP FIVE

Dangerousness

Where the offence is listed in Schedule 15 and/or Schedule 15B of the Criminal Justice Act 2003

The court should consider whether having regard to the criteria contained in Chapter 5 of Part 12 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 it would be appropriate to impose a life sentence (section 224A or section 225) or an extended sentence (section 226A). When sentencing offenders to a life sentence under these provisions, the notional determinate sentence should be used as the basis for the setting of a minimum term.

STEP SIX

Special custodial sentence for certain offenders of particular concern (section 236A)

Where the offence is listed in Schedule 18A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and the court does not impose a sentence of imprisonment for life or an extended sentence, but does impose a period of imprisonment, the term of the sentence must be equal to the aggregate of the appropriate custodial term and a further period of one year for which the offender is to be subject to a licence.

See the Crown Court Compendium, Part II Sentencing S4-3 [\[link\]](#) for further details

STEP SEVEN

Totality principle

If sentencing an offender for more than one offence, or where the offender is already serving a sentence, consider whether the total sentence is just and proportionate to the overall offending behaviour in accordance with the *Offences Taken into Consideration and Totality guideline*.

STEP EIGHT

Compensation and ancillary orders

In all cases the court should consider whether to make compensation and/or other ancillary orders. The court will be assisted by the parties in identifying relevant ancillary orders.

Where the offence involves a firearm, an imitation firearm or an offensive weapon the court may consider the criteria in section 19 of the Serious Crime Act 2007 for the imposition of a Serious Crime Prevention Order.

STEP NINE

Reasons

Section 174 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 imposes a duty to give reasons for, and explain the effect of, the sentence.

STEP TEN

Consideration for time spent on bail (tagged curfew)

The court must consider whether to give credit for time spent on bail in accordance with section 240A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003.