

Threatening behaviour – fear or provocation of violence Public Order Act 1986 (section 4)

Triable summarily
Maximum: 6 months' custody

Offence range: Discharge – 26 weeks' custody

Racially or religiously aggravated threatening behaviour – fear or provocation of violence Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (section 31(1)(a))

Triable either way
Maximum: 2 years' custody

Offence range: Fine – 1 year 6 months' custody

The racially or religiously aggravated offence is a violent specified offence for the purposes of section 226A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003

STEP ONE**Determining the offence category**

The court should determine the offence category with reference **only** to the factors listed in the tables below. In order to determine the category the court should assess **culpability** and **harm**.

For racially and religiously aggravated offences, identify the basic offence category then move to consider the racially and religiously aggravated guidance to identify the appropriate sentence category.

Culpability demonstrated by one or more of the following:

A – High culpability

- Targeting of individual(s) by a group
- Intention to cause fear of serious violence
- Sustained incident
- Use of substantial force
- Production of weapon
- Missiles thrown

B – Lesser culpability

- All other cases

Harm

The court should consider the factors set out below to determine the level of harm that has been caused or was intended to be caused to the victim.

Category 1

- Victim feared serious violence
- Fear of immediate violence caused to multiple persons present
- Incident escalated into violence

Category 2

- All other cases

STEP TWO**Starting point and category range**

Having determined the category at step one, the court should use the corresponding starting point to reach a sentence within the category range below. The starting point applies to all offenders irrespective of plea or previous convictions. A case of particular gravity, reflected by multiple features of culpability or harm in step one, could merit upward adjustment from the starting point before further adjustment for aggravating or mitigating features, set out on the next page.

| Harm | Culpability | |
|------------|---|---|
| | A | B |
| Category 1 | Starting point 12 weeks' custody | Starting point High level community order |
| | Category range Medium level community order – 26 weeks' custody | Category range Band C fine – 12 weeks' custody |
| Category 2 | Starting point High level community order | Starting point Low level community order |
| | Category range Band C fine – 12 weeks' custody | Category range Discharge – Medium level community order |

RACIALLY OR RELIGIOUSLY AGGRAVATED OFFENCES ONLY

Maximum sentence for the aggravated offence on indictment is 2 years' custody (maximum when tried summarily is a level 5 fine and/or 6 months).

Having determined the category of the basic offence to identify the sentence of a non-aggravated offence, the court should now consider the level of racial or religious aggravation involved and apply an appropriate uplift to the sentence in accordance with the guidance below. The following is a list of factors which the court should consider to determine the level of aggravation. Where there are characteristics present which fall under different levels of aggravation, the court should balance these to reach a fair assessment of the level of aggravation present in the offence.

HIGH LEVEL OF RACIAL OR RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION

- Racial or religious aggravation was the predominant motivation for the offence
- Offender was a member of, or was associated with, a group promoting hostility based on race or religion (where linked to the commission of the offence)
- Aggravated nature of the offence caused severe distress to the victim or the victim's family (over and above the distress already considered at step one)
- Aggravated nature of the offence caused serious fear and distress throughout local community or more widely

MEDIUM LEVEL OF RACIAL OR RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION

- Racial or religious aggravation formed a significant proportion of the offence as a whole
- Aggravated nature of the offence caused some distress to the victim or the victim's family (over and above the distress already considered at step one)
- Aggravated nature of the offence caused some fear and distress throughout local community or more widely

LOW LEVEL OF RACIAL OR RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION

- Aggravated element formed a minimal part of the offence as a whole
- Aggravated nature of the offence caused minimal or no distress to the victim or the victim's family (over and above the distress already considered at step one)

Once the court has considered these factors and any other such factors it considers relevant, the court should sentence according to the relevant category in the table below:

| Basic Offence Category | Level of Racial/Religious Aggravation | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | High | Medium | Low |
| A1 | Starting point 36 weeks' custody | Starting point 26 weeks' custody | Starting point 16 weeks' custody |
| | Category range 16 weeks' – 1 year 6 months' custody | Category range 6 weeks' – 1 year's custody | Category range High level community order – 36 weeks' custody |
| A2 or B1 | Starting point 12 weeks' custody | Starting point 6 weeks' custody | Starting point High level community order |
| | Category range 6 weeks' – 1 year's custody | Category range Medium level community order – 26 weeks' custody | Category range Low level community order – 16 weeks' custody |
| B2 | Starting point 6 weeks' custody | Starting point High level community order | Starting point Medium level community order |
| | Category range High level community order – 26 weeks' custody | Category range Low level community order – 12 weeks' custody | Category range Band C fine – High level community order |

The sentencer should state in open court that the offence was aggravated by reason of race or religion, and should also state what the sentence would have been without that element of aggravation.

Magistrates may find that, although the appropriate sentence for the basic offence would be within their powers, the appropriate increase for the aggravated offence would result in a sentence in excess of their powers. If so, they must commit for sentence to the Crown Court.

The table below contains a **non-exhaustive** list of additional factual elements providing the context of the offence and factors relating to the offender. Identify whether any combination of these, or other relevant factors, should result in an upward or downward adjustment from the sentence arrived at so far. In some cases, having considered these factors, it may be appropriate to move outside the identified category range.

Factors increasing seriousness

Statutory aggravating factors:

Previous convictions, having regard to a) the **nature** of the offence to which the conviction relates and its **relevance** to the current offence; and b) the **time** that has elapsed since the conviction

Offence motivated by, or demonstrating hostility based on any of the following characteristics or presumed characteristics of the victim: disability, sexual orientation or transgender identity

Offence committed whilst on bail

Other aggravating factors:

Planning

Offence committed against those working in the public sector or providing a service to the public

Leading role where offending is part of group activity

Vulnerable persons or children present

Victim is targeted due to a vulnerability (or a perceived vulnerability) where not already taken into account in considering racial or religious aggravation

History of antagonising the victim

Victim had no opportunity to escape situation (ie: on public transport)

Commission of offence whilst under the influence of alcohol or drugs

Offence committed whilst on licence or subject to post sentence supervision

History of failure to comply with court orders

Factors reducing seriousness or reflecting personal mitigation

Minor/peripheral role where offending is part of group activity

No previous convictions or no relevant/recent convictions

Remorse

Good character and/or exemplary conduct

Age and/or lack of maturity where it affects the responsibility of the offender

Mental disorder or learning disability where linked to commission of offence

Sole or primary carer for dependent relatives

STEP THREE**Consider any factors which indicate a reduction for assistance to the prosecution**

The court should take into account sections 73 and 74 of the Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 2005 (assistance by defendants: reduction or review of sentence) and any other rule of law by virtue of which an offender may receive a discounted sentence in consequence of assistance given (or offered) to the prosecutor or investigator.

STEP FOUR**Reduction for guilty pleas**

The court should take account of any potential reduction for a guilty plea in accordance with section 144 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and the *Guilty Plea* guideline.

STEP FIVE**Dangerousness**

For **racially or religiously aggravated offences only** the court should consider whether having regard to the criteria contained in Chapter 5 of Part 12 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 it would be appropriate to impose an extended sentence (section 226A).

STEP SIX**Totality principle**

If sentencing an offender for more than one offence, or where the offender is already serving a sentence, consider whether the total sentence is just and proportionate to the overall offending behaviour in accordance with the *Offences Taken into Consideration and Totality* guideline.

STEP SEVEN**Compensation and ancillary orders**

In all cases the court should consider whether to make compensation and/or other ancillary orders.

STEP EIGHT**Reasons**

Section 174 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 imposes a duty to give reasons for, and explain the effect of, the sentence.

STEP NINE**Consideration for time spent on bail**

The court must consider whether to give credit for time spent on bail in accordance with section 240A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003.

Disorderly behaviour with intent to cause harassment, alarm or distress Public Order Act 1986 (section 4A)

Triable summarily
Maximum: 26 weeks' custody

Offence range: Discharge – 26 weeks' custody

Racially or religiously aggravated disorderly behaviour with intent to cause harassment, alarm or distress Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (section 31(1)(b))

Triable either way
Maximum: 2 years' custody

Offence range: Fine – 1 year 3 months' custody

The racially or religiously aggravated offence is a violent specified offence for the purposes of section 226A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003

STEP ONE

Determining the offence category

The court should determine the offence category with reference **only** to the factors listed in the tables below. In order to determine the category the court should assess **culpability** and **harm**.

For racially and religiously aggravated offences, identify the basic offence category then move to consider the racially and religiously aggravated guidance to identify the appropriate sentence category.

Culpability demonstrated by one or more of the following:

A – High culpability

- Targeting of individual(s) by a group
- Sustained incident
- Use of substantial force
- Production of weapon
- Missiles thrown

B – Lesser culpability

- All other cases

Harm

The court should consider the factors set out below to determine the level of harm that has been caused or was intended to be caused to the victim.

Category 1

- Serious distress or alarm caused
- Distress or alarm caused to multiple persons present

Category 2

- All other cases

STEP TWO**Starting point and category range**

Having determined the category at step one, the court should use the corresponding starting point to reach a sentence within the category range below. The starting point applies to all offenders irrespective of plea or previous convictions.

| Harm | Culpability | |
|------------|--|--|
| | A | B |
| Category 1 | Starting point High level community order | Starting point Low level community order |
| | Category range Medium level community order – 26 weeks' custody | Category range Band C fine – 12 weeks' custody |
| Category 2 | Starting point Low level community order | Starting point Band C fine |
| | Category range Band C Fine – 12 weeks' custody | Category range Discharge – Low level community order |

RACIALLY OR RELIGIOUSLY AGGRAVATED OFFENCES ONLY

Maximum sentence for the aggravated offence on indictment is 2 years' custody (maximum when tried summarily is a level 5 fine and/or 6 months).

Having determined the category of the basic offence to identify the sentence of a non-aggravated offence, the court should now consider the level of racial or religious aggravation involved and apply an appropriate uplift to the sentence in accordance with the guidance below. The following is a list of factors which the court should consider to determine the level of aggravation. Where there are characteristics present which fall under different levels of aggravation, the court should balance these to reach a fair assessment of the level of aggravation present in the offence.

HIGH LEVEL OF RACIAL OR RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION

- Racial or religious aggravation was the predominant motivation for the offence
- Offender was a member of, or was associated with, a group promoting hostility based on race or religion (where linked to the commission of the offence)
- Aggravated nature of the offence caused severe distress to the victim or the victim's family (over and above the distress already considered at step one)
- Aggravated nature of the offence caused serious fear and distress throughout local community or more widely

MEDIUM LEVEL OF RACIAL OR RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION

- Racial or religious aggravation formed a significant proportion of the offence as a whole
- Aggravated nature of the offence caused some distress to the victim or the victim's family (over and above the distress already considered at step one)
- Aggravated nature of the offence caused some fear and distress throughout local community or more widely

LOW LEVEL OF RACIAL OR RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION

- Aggravated element formed a minimal part of the offence as a whole
- Aggravated nature of the offence caused minimal or no distress to the victim or the victim's family (over and above the distress already considered at step one)

Once the court has considered these factors and any other such factors it considers relevant, the court should sentence according to the relevant category in the table below;

| Basic Offence Category | Level of Racial/Religious Aggravation | | |
|------------------------|---|---|---|
| | High | Medium | Low |
| A1 | Starting point 26 weeks' custody | Starting point 12 weeks' custody | Starting point 6 weeks' custody |
| | Category range 6 weeks' – 1 year 3 months' custody | Category range High level community order – 36 weeks' custody | Category range Medium level community order – 26 weeks' custody |
| A2 or B1 | Starting point 6 weeks' custody | Starting point High level community order | Starting point Medium level community order |
| | Category range High level community order – 36 weeks' custody | Category range Medium level community order – 26 weeks' custody | Category range Band C fine – 16 weeks' custody |
| B2 | Starting point High level community order | Starting point Medium level community order | Starting point Low level community order |
| | Category range Medium level community order – 12 weeks' custody | Category range Band C fine – 6 weeks' custody | Category range Band B fine – High level community order |

The sentencer should state in open court that the offence was aggravated by reason of race or religion, and should also state what the sentence would have been without that element of aggravation.

Magistrates may find that, although the appropriate sentence for the basic offence would be within their powers, the appropriate increase for the aggravated offence would result in a sentence in excess of their powers. If so, they must commit for sentence to the Crown Court.

The table below contains a **non-exhaustive** list of additional factual elements providing the context of the offence and factors relating to the offender. Identify whether any combination of these, or other relevant factors, should result in an upward or downward adjustment from the sentence arrived at so far. In some cases, having considered these factors, it may be appropriate to move outside the identified category range.

Factors increasing seriousness

Statutory aggravating factors:

Previous convictions, having regard to a) the **nature** of the offence to which the conviction relates and its **relevance** to the current offence; and b) the **time** that has elapsed since the conviction

Offence motivated by, or demonstrating hostility based on any of the following characteristics or presumed characteristics of the victim: disability, sexual orientation or transgender identity

Offence committed whilst on bail

Other aggravating factors:

Planning

Leading role where offending is part of group activity

Offence committed against those working in the public sector or providing a service to the public

Vulnerable persons or children present

Victim is targeted due to a vulnerability (or a perceived vulnerability)

History of antagonising the victim

Victim had no opportunity to escape situation (ie: on public transport)

Commission of offence whilst under the influence of alcohol or drugs

Offence committed whilst on licence or post sentence supervision

History of failure to comply with court orders

Factors reducing seriousness or reflecting personal mitigation

Minor/peripheral role in group activity

No previous convictions or no relevant/recent convictions

Remorse

Previous good character

Age and/or lack of maturity where it affects the responsibility of the offender

Mental disorder or learning disability where related to the commission of the offence

Sole or primary carer for dependent relatives

STEP THREE**Consider any factors which indicate a reduction for assistance to the prosecution**

The court should take into account sections 73 and 74 of the Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 2005 (assistance by defendants: reduction or review of sentence) and any other rule of law by virtue of which an offender may receive a discounted sentence in consequence of assistance given (or offered) to the prosecutor or investigator.

STEP FOUR**Reduction for guilty pleas**

The court should take account of any potential reduction for a guilty plea in accordance with section 144 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and the *Guilty Plea* guideline.

STEP FIVE**Dangerousness**

For **racially or religiously aggravated offences only** the court should consider whether having regard to the criteria contained in Chapter 5 of Part 12 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 it would be appropriate to impose an extended sentence (section 226A).

STEP SIX**Totality principle**

If sentencing an offender for more than one offence, or where the offender is already serving a sentence, consider whether the total sentence is just and proportionate to the overall offending behaviour in accordance with the *Offences Taken into Consideration and Totality* guideline.

STEP SEVEN**Compensation and ancillary orders**

In all cases the court should consider whether to make compensation and/or other ancillary orders.

STEP EIGHT**Reasons**

Section 174 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 imposes a duty to give reasons for, and explain the effect of, the sentence.

STEP NINE**Consideration for time spent on bail**

The court must consider whether to give credit for time spent on bail in accordance with section 240A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003.

Disorderly behaviour Public Order Act 1986 (section 5)

Triable summarily
Maximum: Level 3 fine

Offence range: Discharge – Fine

Racially or religiously aggravated disorderly behaviour Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (section 31(1)(c))

Triable summarily
Maximum: Level 4 fine

Offence range: Discharge – Fine

STEP ONE**Determining the offence category**

The court should determine the offence category with reference **only** to the factors listed in the tables below. In order to determine the category the court should assess **culpability** and **harm**.

For racially and religiously aggravated offences, identify the basic offence category then move to consider the racially and religiously aggravated guidance to identify the appropriate sentence category.

Culpability demonstrated by one or more of the following:

A – High culpability

- Targeting of individual(s) by group
- Sustained incident
- Use of force

B – Lesser culpability

- All other cases

Harm

The court should consider the factors set out below to determine the level of harm that has been caused or was intended to be caused to the victim.

Category 1

- Serious distress or alarm caused
- Distress or alarm caused to multiple persons present

Category 2

- All other cases

STEP TWO**Starting point and category range**

Having determined the category at step one, the court should use the corresponding starting point to reach a sentence within the category range below. The starting point applies to all offenders irrespective of plea or previous convictions. A case of particular gravity, reflected by multiple features of culpability or harm in step one, could merit upward adjustment from the starting point before further adjustment for aggravating or mitigating features, set out on the next page.

| Harm | Culpability | |
|------------|---|--|
| | A | B |
| Category 1 | Starting point Band C fine | Starting point Band B fine |
| | Category range Band B – Band C fine | Category range Band A – Band C fine |
| Category 2 | Starting point Band B fine | Starting point Band A fine |
| | Category range Band A – Band C fine | Category range Conditional discharge – Band B fine |

RACIALLY OR RELIGIOUSLY AGGRAVATED OFFENCES ONLY**Summary only offence. Maximum sentence for the aggravated offence is level 4 fine.**

Having determined the category of the basic offence to identify the sentence of a non-aggravated offence, the court should now consider the level of racial or religious aggravation involved and apply an appropriate uplift to the sentence in accordance with the guidance below. The following table includes a list of factors which the court should consider to determine the level of aggravation. Where there are characteristics present which fall under different levels of aggravation, the court should balance these to reach a fair assessment of the level of aggravation present in the offence, and apply the appropriate uplift to the sentence.

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>HIGH LEVEL OF RACIAL OR RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Racial or religious aggravation was the predominant motivation for the offence • Offender was a member of, or was associated with, a group promoting hostility based on race or religion (where linked to the commission of the offence) • Aggravated nature of the offence caused severe distress to the victim or the victim's family (over and above the distress already considered at step one) • Aggravated nature of the offence caused serious fear and distress throughout local community or more widely | <p>SENTENCE UPLIFT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine for basic offence: Multiply basic fine by 2.5 • Discharge for basic offence: impose fine at top of basic offence category range or for particularly severe cases move to sentence in next basic offence category |
| <p>MEDIUM LEVEL OF RACIAL OR RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Racial or religious aggravation formed a significant proportion of the offence as a whole • Aggravated nature of the offence caused some distress to the victim or the victim's family (over and above the distress already considered at step one) • Aggravated nature of the offence caused some fear and distress throughout local community or more widely | <p>SENTENCE UPLIFT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine for basic offence: Multiply basic fine by 2 • Discharge for basic offence: impose fine at mid-top of basic offence category range |
| <p>LOW LEVEL OF RACIAL OR RELIGIOUS AGGRAVATION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aggravated element formed a minimal part of the offence as a whole • Aggravated nature of the offence caused minimal or no distress to the victim or the victim's family (over and above the distress already considered at step one) | <p>SENTENCE UPLIFT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine for basic offence: Multiply basic fine by 1.5 • Discharge for basic offence: impose fine at low-mid of basic offence category range |

The sentencer should state in open court that the offence was aggravated by reason of race or religion, and should also state what the sentence would have been without that element of aggravation.

The table below contains a **non-exhaustive** list of additional factual elements providing the context of the offence and factors relating to the offender. Identify whether any combination of these, or other relevant factors, should result in an upward or downward adjustment from the sentence arrived at so far. In some cases, having considered these factors, it may be appropriate to move outside the identified category range.

Factors increasing seriousness

Statutory aggravating factors:

Previous convictions, having regard to a) the **nature** of the offence to which the conviction relates and its **relevance** to the current offence; and b) the **time** that has elapsed since the conviction

Offence motivated by, or demonstrating hostility based on any of the following characteristics or presumed characteristics of the victim: disability, sexual orientation or transgender identity

Offence committed whilst on bail

Other aggravating factors:

Planning

Leading role where offending is part of group activity

Offence committed against those working in the public sector or providing a service to the public

Vulnerable persons or children present

Victim is targeted due to a vulnerability (or a perceived vulnerability)

History of antagonising the victim

Commission of offence whilst under the influence of alcohol or drugs

Victim(s) had no opportunity to escape situation (eg: offence occurred on public transport)

Offence committed whilst on licence or subject to post sentence supervision

History of failure to comply with court orders

Factors reducing seriousness or reflecting personal mitigation

No previous convictions or no relevant/recent convictions

Minor/peripheral role where offending is part of group activity

Remorse

Previous good character

Age and/or lack of maturity where it affects the responsibility of the offender

Mental disorder or learning disability where related to the commission of the offence

Sole or primary carer for dependent relatives

STEP THREE**Consider any factors which indicate a reduction for assistance to the prosecution**

The court should take into account sections 73 and 74 of the Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 2005 (assistance by defendants: reduction or review of sentence) and any other rule of law by virtue of which an offender may receive a discounted sentence in consequence of assistance given (or offered) to the prosecutor or investigator.

STEP FOUR**Reduction for guilty pleas**

The court should take account of any potential reduction for a guilty plea in accordance with section 144 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and the *Guilty Plea* guideline.

STEP FIVE**Totality principle**

If sentencing an offender for more than one offence, or where the offender is already serving a sentence, consider whether the total sentence is just and proportionate to the overall offending behaviour in accordance with the *Offences Taken into Consideration and Totality* guideline.

STEP SIX**Compensation and ancillary orders**

In all cases the court should consider whether to make compensation and/or other ancillary orders.

STEP SEVEN**Reasons**

Section 174 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 imposes a duty to give reasons for, and explain the effect of, the sentence.

STEP EIGHT**Consideration for time spent on bail**

The court must consider whether to give credit for time spent on bail in accordance with section 240A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003.