

Child Cruelty – Assault and ill treatment, abandonment, neglect and failure to protect.

Cruelty to a child

Children and Young Persons Act 1933 (S1(1))

Triable either way

Maximum: 10 years' custody

Offence range: Low level community order – 9 years' custody

This guideline applies only to offenders aged 18 and older

Annex A: revised draft guideline

STEP ONE Determining the offence category

The court should determine the offence category with reference **only** to the factors listed in the tables below. In order to determine the category the court should assess **culpability** and **harm**.

The court should weigh all the factors set out below in determining the offender's culpability.

Where there are characteristics present which fall under different levels of culpability, the court should balance these characteristics to reach a fair assessment of the offender's culpability.

Culpability demonstrated by one or more of the following:

A - High culpability:

- Prolonged and/or multiple incidents of serious cruelty, including serious neglect
- Gratuitous degradation of victim and/or sadistic behaviour
- Use of very significant force
- Use of a weapon
- Blatant and deliberate disregard to the welfare of the victim
- Failure to take any steps to protect the victim from offences in which the above factors are present
- Offender with professional responsibility for the victim (where linked to the commission of the offence)

B - Medium culpability:

- Use of significant force
- Limited steps taken to protect victim in cases with Category A factors present
- Other cases falling between A and C because:
 - Factors in both high and lesser categories are present which balance each other out and/or
 - The offender's culpability falls between the factors as described in high and lesser culpability

C - Lesser culpability:

- Offender's responsibility substantially reduced by mental disorder or learning disability or lack of maturity
- Victim of domestic abuse, including coercion and/or intimidation (when linked to the commission of the offence)
- Steps taken to protect victim but fell just short of what could reasonably be expected
- Commission of the offence (including in cases of neglect) was a momentary or brief lapse in judgement the offender's otherwise satisfactory standard of care including in cases of neglect.
- Use of some force or failure to protect the victim from an incident involving some force
- Low level of neglect

Annex A: revised draft guideline

Harm

The court should consider the factors set out below to determine the level of harm that has been caused or was intended to be caused to the victim.

Psychological, developmental or emotional harm

A finding that the psychological, developmental or emotional harm is **serious** may be based on a clinical diagnosis but the court may make such a finding based on other evidence from or on behalf of the victim that serious psychological, developmental or emotional harm exists. It is important to be clear that the absence of such a finding does **not** imply that the psychological/developmental harm suffered by the victim is minor or trivial.

Category 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Serious psychological, developmental, and/or emotional harm • Serious physical harm (including illnesses contracted due to neglect)
Category 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cases falling between category 1 and 3 • A high likelihood of category 1 harm being caused
Category 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Little or no psychological, developmental, and/or emotional harm • Little or no physical harm

STEP TWO

Starting point and category range

Having determined the category at step one, the court should use the corresponding starting point to reach a sentence within the category range below. The starting point applies to all offenders irrespective of plea or previous convictions. A case of particular gravity, reflected by multiple features of culpability or harm in step one, could merit upward adjustment from the starting point before further adjustment for aggravating or mitigating features, set out on the next page.

Harm	Culpability		
	A	B	C
Category 1	<p>Starting point 6 years' custody</p> <p>Category range 4 – 8 years' custody</p>	<p>Starting point 3 years' custody</p> <p>Category range 2 – 6 years' custody</p>	<p>Starting point 1 year's custody</p> <p>Category range High level community order– 2 years 6 months' custody</p>
Category 2	<p>Starting point 3 years' custody</p> <p>Category range 2 – 6 years' custody</p>	<p>Starting point 1 year's custody</p> <p>Category range</p>	<p>Starting point High level community order</p> <p>Category range</p>

Annex A: revised draft guideline

		High level community order – 2 years 6 months' custody	Medium level community order – 1 year's custody
Category 3	<p>Starting point 1 year's custody</p> <p>Category range High level community order – 2 years 6 months' custody</p>	<p>Starting point High level community order</p> <p>Category range Medium level community order – 1 year's custody</p>	<p>Starting point Medium level community order</p> <p>Category range Low level community order – 6 months' custody</p>

The table below contains a **non-exhaustive** list of additional factual elements providing the context of the offence and factors relating to the offender. Identify whether any combination of these, or other relevant factors, should result in an upward or downward adjustment from the sentence arrived at so far. In particular, relevant recent convictions are likely to result in an upward adjustment. In some cases, having considered these factors, it may be appropriate to move outside the identified category range.

Factors increasing seriousness

Statutory aggravating factors:

1. Previous convictions, having regard to a) the **nature** of the offence to which the conviction relates and its **relevance** to the current offence; and b) the **time** that has elapsed since the conviction
2. Offence committed whilst on bail

Other aggravating factors:

1. Failure to seek medical help (where not taken into account at step one)
2. Commission of offence whilst under the influence of alcohol or drugs
3. Deliberate concealment and/or covering up of the offence
4. Blame wrongly placed on others
5. Failure to respond to interventions or warnings about behaviour
6. Threats to prevent reporting of the offence
7. Failure to comply with current court orders
8. Offence committed on licence or post sentence supervision
9. Offences taken into consideration
10. Offence committed in the presence of another child

Annex A: revised draft guideline

Factors reducing seriousness or reflecting personal mitigation

1. No previous convictions **or** no relevant/recent convictions
2. Remorse
3. Determination and demonstration of steps having been taken to address addiction or offending behaviour, including co-operation with agencies working for the welfare of the victim
4. Sole or primary carer for dependent relatives (**see step five for further guidance on parental responsibilities**)
5. Good character and/or exemplary conduct (~~the more serious the offence, the less weight should normally be attributed to this factor~~ where previous good character/exemplary conduct has been used to facilitate the offence, this mitigation should not normally be allowed, and such conduct may constitute an aggravating factor)
6. Serious medical condition requiring urgent, intensive or long-term treatment
7. Mental disorder or learning disability (where not taken into account at step one)
8. Co-operation with the investigation

STEP THREE

Consider any factors which indicate a reduction for assistance to the prosecution

The court should take into account sections 73 and 74 of the Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 2005 (assistance by defendants: reduction or review of sentence) and any other rule of law by virtue of which an offender may receive a discounted sentence in consequence of assistance given (or offered) to the prosecutor or investigator.

STEP FOUR

Reduction for guilty pleas

The court should take account of any potential reduction for a guilty plea in accordance with section 144 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and the *Guilty Plea* guideline.

STEP FIVE

Parental responsibilities for sole or primary carers

In the majority of cruelty to a child cases the offender will have parental responsibility for the victim. When the case is on the cusp of custody the court should step back and review whether this sentence will be in the best interests of the victim (as well as other children the offender may care for). This must be balanced with the seriousness of the offence and all sentencing options remain open to the court but careful consideration should be given to the effect that a custodial sentence could have on the family life of the victim and whether this is proportionate to the seriousness of the offence. This may be of particular relevance in lesser culpability/harm cases involving a momentary lapse in judgement where the offender has otherwise been a loving and capable parent/carer.

Annex A: revised draft guideline

STEP SIX

Totality principle

If sentencing an offender for more than one offence, or where the offender is already serving a sentence, consider whether the total sentence is just and proportionate to the overall offending behaviour in accordance with the Offences Taken into Consideration and Totality guideline.

STEP SEVEN

Ancillary orders

In all cases the court should consider whether to make ancillary orders.

STEP EIGHT

Reasons

Section 174 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 imposes a duty to give reasons for, and explain the effect of, the sentence.

STEP NINE

Consideration for time spent on bail

The court must consider whether to give credit for time spent on bail in accordance with section 240A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003.