Robbery – street and less sophisticated commercial

Theft Act 1968 (section 8(1))

This is a serious specified offence for the purposes of section 224 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003.

Triable only on indictment Maximum: Life imprisonment

Offence range: Community order – 12 years' custody

This guideline applies only to offenders aged 18 and older.

Street/less sophisticated commercial robbery refers to robberies committed in public places, including those committed in taxis or on public transport. It also refers to unsophisticated robberies within commercial premises or targeting commercial goods.

The Sentencing Guidelines Council Robbery Definitive Guideline includes a guideline for sentencing young offenders which continues to be in force.

General principles to be considered in the sentencing of youths are available in the Sentencing Guidelines Council's definitive guideline, *Overarching principles – Sentencing Youths*, which will continue to be in force pending new and updated youth guidance.

Check www.sentencingcouncil.org.uk for amendments to guidance for youth offenders.

STEP ONE

Determining the offence category

The court should determine the offence category with reference only to the factors listed in the tables below. In order to determine the category the court should assess **culpability** and **harm**.

The court should weigh all the factors set out below in determining the offender's culpability.

Where there are characteristics present which fall under different levels of culpability, the court should balance these characteristics to reach a fair assessment of the offender's culpability.

Culpability demonstrated by one or more of the following			
A – High culpability	 Use of a weapon to inflict violence Production of a bladed article or firearm or imitation firearm to threaten violence Use of very significant force in the commission of the offence Offence motivated by, or demonstrating, hostility based on the victim's personal characteristics (for example, sex, race, sexual orientation (or presumed sexual orientation)) 		
B – Medium culpability	 Production of a weapon other than a bladed article or firearm or imitation firearm to threaten violence Threat of violence by any weapon (but which is not produced) Other cases where characteristics for categories A or C are not present 		
C – Lesser culpability	 Involved through coercion, intimidation or exploitation Threat or use of minimal force Mental disability where linked to the commission of the offence 		

Harm The court should consider the factors set out below to determine the level of harm that has been caused or was intended to be caused to the victim.		
Category 1	Serious physical and/or psychological harm caused to the victim Serious detrimental effect on the business	
Category 2	Other cases where characteristics for categories 1 or 3 are not present	
Category 3	 No/minimal physical or psychological harm No/minimal detrimental effect on the business 	

STEP TWO Starting point and category range

Having determined the category at step one, the court should use the corresponding starting point to reach a sentence within the category range below. The starting point applies to all offenders irrespective of plea or previous convictions. A case of particular gravity, reflected by multiple features of culpability or harm in step 1, could merit upward adjustment from the starting point before further adjustment for aggravating or mitigating features, set out on the next page.

Consecutive sentences for multiple offences may be appropriate.

		Culpability	
Harm	Α	В	С
Category 1	Starting point 8 years' custody	Starting point 5 years' custody	Starting point 4 years' custody
	Category range 7 – 12 years' custody	Category range 4 – 8 years' custody	Category range 3 – 6 years' custody
Category 2	Starting point 5 years' custody	Starting point 4 years' custody	Starting point 2 years' custody
	Category range 4 – 8 years' custody	Category range 3 – 6 years' custody	Category range 1 – 4 years' custody
Category 3	Starting point 4 years' custody	Starting point 2 years' custody	Starting point 1 year's custody
	Category range 3 – 6 years' custody	Category range 1 – 4 years' custody	Category range High level community order – 3 years' custody

The table on the next page contains a **non-exhaustive** list of additional factual elements providing the context of the offence and factors relating to the offender. Identify whether any combination of these, or other relevant factors, should result in an upward or downward adjustment from the starting point. In particular, relevant recent convictions are likely to result in an upward adjustment. In some cases, having considered these factors, it may be appropriate to move outside the identified category range.

Factors increasing seriousness

Statutory aggravating factors:

Previous convictions, having regard to a) the nature of the offence to which the conviction relates and its relevance to the current offence; and b) the time that has elapsed since the conviction

Offence committed whilst on bail

Other aggravating factors:

Steps taken to prevent the victim reporting or obtaining assistance and/or from assisting or supporting the prosecution

Victim is targeted due to a vulnerability (or a perceived vulnerability), including but not limited to age, mental or physical disability

Involvement of others through coercion, intimidation or exploitation

Prolonged nature of event

Restraint, detention or additional degradation of the victim

Sophisticated organised nature of offence

A leading role where offending is part of a group activity

Attempts to conceal/dispose of evidence

Established evidence of community/wider impact

Failure to comply with current court orders

Offence committed on licence

Offences taken into consideration

Failure to respond to warnings about behaviour

Timing of the offence

Location of the offence

Attempt to conceal identity (for example, wearing a balaclava or hood)

Commission of offence whilst under the influence of alcohol or drugs

Targeting of large sums of money or valuable goods

High value goods or sums (whether economic, personal or sentimental)

Location of offence also victim's residence

Factors reducing seriousness or reflecting personal mitigation

No previous convictions **or** no relevant/recent convictions

Remorse, particularly where evidenced by voluntary reparation to the victim

Good character and/or exemplary conduct

Serious medical conditions requiring urgent, intensive or long-term treatment

Age and/or lack of maturity where it affects the responsibility of the offender

Mental disorder or learning disability

Little or no planning

Sole or primary carer for dependent relatives

Determination and/or demonstration of steps having been taken to address addiction or offending behaviour

STEP THREE

Consider any factors which indicate a reduction for assistance to the prosecution

The court should take into account sections 73 and 74 of the Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 2005 (assistance by defendants: reduction or review of sentence) and any other rule of law by virtue of which an offender may receive a discounted sentence in consequence of assistance given (or offered) to the prosecutor or investigator.

STEP FOUR

Reduction for guilty pleas

The court should take account of any potential reduction for a guilty plea in accordance with section 144 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and the *Guilty Plea* guideline.

STEP FIVE

Dangerousness

The court should consider whether having regard to the criteria contained in Chapter 5 of Part 12 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 it would be appropriate to impose a life sentence (section 224A) or an extended sentence (section 226A). When sentencing offenders to a life sentence under these provisions, the notional determinate sentence should be used as the basis for the setting of a minimum term.

STEP SIX

Totality principle

If sentencing an offender for more than one offence, or where the offender is already serving a sentence, consider whether the total sentence is just and proportionate to the overall offending behaviour.

STEP SEVEN

Compensation and ancillary orders

In all cases the court should consider whether to make compensation and/or other ancillary orders.

Where the offence involves a firearm or an offensive weapon the court may consider the criteria in section 19 of the Serious Crime Act 2007 for the imposition of a Serious Crime Prevention order.

STEP EIGHT

Reasons

Section 174 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 imposes a duty to give reasons for, and explain the effect of, the sentence.

STEP NINE

Consideration for time spent on bail

The court must consider whether to give credit for time spent on bail in accordance with section 240A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003.

Blank page

Robbery – professionally planned commercial (including banks, shops, businesses)

Theft Act 1968 (section 8(1))

This is a serious specified offence for the purposes of section 224 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003.

Triable only on indictment Maximum: Life imprisonment

Offence range: 18 months' – 20 years' custody

This guideline applies only to offenders aged 18 and older.

Professionally planned commercial robbery refers to robberies involving a significant degree of planning, sophistication or organisation.

STEP ONE

Determining the offence category

The court should determine the offence category with reference only to the factors listed in the tables below. In order to determine the category the court should assess **culpability** and **harm**.

The court should weigh all the factors set out below in determining the offender's culpability.

Where there are characteristics present which fall under different levels of culpability, the court should balance these characteristics to reach a fair assessment of the offender's culpability.

Culpability demonstrated by one or more of the following		
A – High culpability	 Use of a weapon to inflict violence Production of a bladed article or firearm or imitation firearm to threaten violence Use of very significant force in the commission of the offence A leading role where offending is part of a group activity Offence motivated by, or demonstrating, hostility based on the victim's personal characteristics (for example, sex, race, sexual orientation (or presumed sexual orientation)) Abuse of position 	
B – Medium culpability	 Production of a weapon other than a bladed article or firearm or imitation firearm to threaten violence Threat of violence by any weapon (but which is not produced) A significant role where offending is part of a group activity Other cases where characteristics for categories A or C are not present 	
C – Lesser culpability	 Performed limited function under direction Involved through coercion, intimidation or exploitation Threat or use of minimal force Mental disability where linked to the commission of the offence 	

Harm

The level of **harm** is determined by weighing up all the factors of the case to determine the harm that has been caused or was intended to be caused to the victim. The victim relates both to the commercial organisation that has been robbed and any individual(s) who has suffered the use or threat of force during the commission of the offence.

Category 1	 Serious physical and/or psychological harm caused to the victim Serious detrimental effect on business Very high value goods or sums (whether economic, personal or sentimental) 	
Category 2	Other cases where characteristics for categories 1 or 3 are not present	
Category 3	No/minimal physical or psychological harm No/minimal detrimental effect on the business Low value goods or sums (whether economic, personal or sentimental)	

STEP TWO Starting point and category range

Having determined the category at step one, the court should use the corresponding starting point to reach a sentence within the category range below. The starting point applies to all offenders irrespective of plea or previous convictions. A case of particular gravity, reflected by multiple features of high culpability or harm in step 1, could merit upward adjustment from the starting point before further adjustment for aggravating or mitigating features, set out on the next page.

Consecutive sentences for multiple offences may be appropriate particularly where exceptionally high levels of harm have been caused.

Where multiple offences or a single conspiracy to commit multiple offences of particular severity have taken place sentences in excess of 20 years may be appropriate.

		Culpability	
Harm	Α	В	С
Category 1	Starting point 16 years' custody	Starting point 9 years' custody	Starting point 5 years' custody
	Category range 12 – 20 years' custody	Category range 7 – 14 years' custody	Category range 4 – 8 years' custody
Category 2	Starting point 9 years' custody	Starting point 5 years' custody	Starting point 3 years' custody
	Category range 7 – 14 years' custody	Category range 4 – 8 years' custody	Category range 2 – 5 years' custody
Category 3	Starting point 5 years' custody	Starting point 3 years' custody	Starting point 2 years' custody
	Category range 4 – 8 years' custody	Category range 2 – 5 years' custody	Category range 18 months' – 4 years' custody

The table on the next page contains a **non-exhaustive** list of additional factual elements providing the context of the offence and factors relating to the offender. Identify whether any combination of these, or other relevant factors, should result in an upward or downward adjustment from the starting point. In particular, relevant recent convictions are likely to result in an upward adjustment. In some cases, having considered these factors, it may be appropriate to move outside the identified category range.

Factors increasing seriousness

Statutory aggravating factors:

Previous convictions, having regard to a) the nature of the offence to which the conviction relates and its relevance to the current offence; and b) the time that has elapsed since the conviction

Offence committed whilst on bail

Other aggravating factors:

Steps taken to prevent the victim reporting or obtaining assistance and/or from assisting or supporting the prosecution

Victim is targeted due to a vulnerability (or a perceived vulnerability), including but not limited to age, mental or physical disability

Involvement of others through coercion, intimidation or exploitation

Restraint, detention or additional degradation of the victim

Prolonged nature of attack

Attempts to conceal/dispose of evidence

Established evidence of community/wider impact

Failure to comply with current court orders

Offence committed on licence

Offences taken into consideration

Failure to respond to warnings about behaviour

Timing of the offence

Attempt to conceal identity (for example, wearing a balaclava or hood)

Commission of offence whilst under the influence of alcohol or drugs

Targeting of large sums of money or valuable goods (except where considered at step one)

Location of offence also victim's residence

Factors reducing seriousness or reflecting personal mitigation

No previous convictions or no relevant/recent convictions

Remorse, particularly where evidenced by voluntary reparation to the victim

Good character and/or exemplary conduct

Serious medical conditions requiring urgent, intensive or long-term treatment

Age and/or lack of maturity where it affects the responsibility of the offender

Mental disorder or learning disability

Sole or primary carer for dependent relatives

Determination and/or demonstration of steps having been taken to address addiction or offending behaviour

STEP THREE

Consider any factors which indicate a reduction for assistance to the prosecution

The court should take into account sections 73 and 74 of the Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 2005 (assistance by defendants: reduction or review of sentence) and any other rule of law by virtue of which an offender may receive a discounted sentence in consequence of assistance given (or offered) to the prosecutor or investigator.

STEP FOUR

Reduction for guilty pleas

The court should take account of any potential reduction for a guilty plea in accordance with section 144 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and the *Guilty Plea* guideline.

STEP FIVE

Dangerousness

The court should consider whether having regard to the criteria contained in Chapter 5 of Part 12 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 it would be appropriate to impose a life sentence (section 224A) or an extended sentence (section 226A). When sentencing offenders to a life sentence under these provisions, the notional determinate sentence should be used as the basis for the setting of a minimum term.

STEP SIX

Totality principle

If sentencing an offender for more than one offence, or where the offender is already serving a sentence, consider whether the total sentence is just and proportionate to the overall offending behaviour.

STEP SEVEN

Compensation and ancillary orders

In all cases the court should consider whether to make compensation and/or other ancillary orders.

Where the offence involves a firearm or an offensive weapon the court may consider the criteria in section 19 of the Serious Crime Act 2007 for the imposition of a Serious Crime Prevention order.

STEP EIGHT

Reasons

Section 174 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 imposes a duty to give reasons for, and explain the effect of, the sentence.

STEP NINE

Consideration for time spent on bail

The court must consider whether to give credit for time spent on bail in accordance with section 240A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003.

Blank page

Robbery – dwelling

Theft Act 1968 (section 8(1))

This is a serious specified offence for the purposes of section 224 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003.

Triable only on indictment Maximum: Life imprisonment

Offence range: 1 year's custody – 16 years' custody

STEP ONE

Determining the offence category

The court should determine the offence category with reference only to the factors listed in the tables below. In order to determine the category the court should assess **culpability** and **harm**.

The court should weigh all the factors set out below in determining the offender's culpability.

Where there are characteristics present which fall under different levels of culpability, the court should balance these characteristics to reach a fair assessment of the offender's culpability.

Culpability demonstrated by one or more of the following		
A – High culpability	 Use of a weapon to inflict violence Production of a bladed article or firearm or imitation firearm to threaten violence Use of very significant force in the commission of the offence Sophisticated organised nature of offence A leading role where offending is part of a group activity Offence motivated by, or demonstrating, hostility based on the victim's personal characteristics (for example, sex, race, sexual orientation (or presumed sexual orientation)) Abuse of position 	
B – Medium culpability	 Production of a weapon other than a bladed article or firearm or imitation firearm to threaten violence Threat of violence by any weapon (but which is not produced) A significant role where offending is part of a group activity Other cases where characteristics for categories A or C are not present 	
C – Lesser culpability	 Performed limited function under direction Involved through coercion, intimidation or exploitation Threat or use of minimal force Very little or no planning Mental disability where linked to the commission of the offence 	

Harm The court should weigh up all the factors set out below to determine the harm that has been caused or was intended to be caused to the victim.		
Category 1	 Serious physical and/or psychological harm caused to the victim Very high value of goods (whether economic, sentimental or personal) Soiling, ransacking or vandalism of property 	
Category 2	Other cases where characteristics for categories 1 or 3 are not present	
Category 3	 No/minimal physical or psychological harm Low value goods or sums (whether economic, personal or sentimental) Limited damage or disturbance to property 	

STEP TWO Starting point and category range

Having determined the category at step one, the court should use the corresponding starting point to reach a sentence within the category range below. The starting point applies to all offenders irrespective of plea or previous convictions. A case of particular gravity, reflected by multiple features of culpability or harm in step 1, could merit upward adjustment from the starting point before further adjustment for aggravating or mitigating features, set out on the next page.

Consecutive sentences for multiple offences may be appropriate particularly where exceptionally high levels of harm may be caused.

In a case of particular gravity, reflected by extremely serious violence, a sentence in excess of 13 years may be appropriate.

		Culpability	
Harm	Α	В	С
Category 1	Starting point 13 years' custody	Starting point 8 years' custody	Starting point 5 years' custody
	Category range 10 – 16 years' custody	Category range 6 – 10 years' custody	Category range 4 – 8 years' custody
Category 2	Starting point 8 years' custody	Starting point 5 years' custody	Starting point 3 years' custody
	Category range 6 – 10 years' custody	Category range 4 – 8 years' custody	Category range 2 – 5 years' custody
Category 3	Starting point 5 years' custody	Starting point 3 years' custody	Starting point 18 months' custody
	Category range 4 – 8 years' custody	Category range 2 – 5 years' custody	Category range 1 – 3 years' custody

The table on the next page contains a **non-exhaustive** list of additional factual elements providing the context of the offence and factors relating to the offender. Identify whether any combination of these, or other relevant factors, should result in an upward or downward adjustment from the starting point. In particular, relevant recent convictions are likely to result in an upward adjustment. In some cases, having considered these factors, it may be appropriate to move outside the identified category range.

Factors increasing seriousness

Statutory aggravating factors:

Previous convictions, having regard to a) the nature of the offence to which the conviction relates and its relevance to the current offence; and b) the time that has elapsed since the conviction

Offence committed whilst on bail

Other aggravating factors:

Steps taken to prevent the victim reporting or obtaining assistance and/or from assisting or supporting the prosecution

Victim is targeted due to a vulnerability (or a perceived vulnerability), including but not limited to age, mental or physical disability

Involvement of others through coercion, intimidation or exploitation

Prolonged nature of event

Restraint, detention or additional degradation of the victim

A leading role where offending is part of a group activity

Child or vulnerable person at home (or returns home) when offence committed

Victim compelled to leave their home

Attempts to conceal/dispose of evidence

Established evidence of community/wider impact

Failure to comply with current court orders

Offence committed on licence

Offences taken into consideration

Failure to respond to warnings about behaviour

Timing of the offence

Attempt to conceal identity (for example, wearing a balaclava or hood)

Commission of offence whilst under the influence of alcohol or drugs

Factors reducing seriousness or reflecting personal mitigation

No previous convictions or no relevant/recent convictions

Remorse, particularly where evidenced by voluntary reparation to the victim

Good character and/or exemplary conduct

Serious medical conditions requiring urgent, intensive or long-term treatment

Age and/or lack of maturity where it affects the responsibility of the offender

Mental disorder or learning disability

Sole or primary carer for dependent relatives

Determination and/or demonstration of steps having been taken to address addiction or offending behaviour

STEP THREE

Consider any factors which indicate a reduction for assistance to the prosecution

The court should take into account sections 73 and 74 of the Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 2005 (assistance by defendants: reduction or review of sentence) and any other rule of law by virtue of which an offender may receive a discounted sentence in consequence of assistance given (or offered) to the prosecutor or investigator.

STEP FOUR

Reduction for guilty pleas

The court should take account of any potential reduction for a guilty plea in accordance with section 144 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and the *Guilty Plea* guideline.

STEP FIVE

Dangerousness

The court should consider whether having regard to the criteria contained in Chapter 5 of Part 12 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 it would be appropriate to impose a life sentence (section 224A) or an extended sentence (section 226A). When sentencing offenders to a life sentence under these provisions, the notional determinate sentence should be used as the basis for the setting of a minimum term.

STEP SIX

Totality principle

If sentencing an offender for more than one offence, or where the offender is already serving a sentence, consider whether the total sentence is just and proportionate to the overall offending behaviour.

STEP SEVEN

Compensation and ancillary orders

In all cases the court should consider whether to make compensation and/or other ancillary orders.

Where the offence involves a firearm or an offensive weapon the court may consider the criteria in section 19 of the Serious Crime Act 2007 for the imposition of a Serious Crime Prevention order.

STEP EIGHT

Reasons

Section 174 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 imposes a duty to give reasons for, and explain the effect of, the sentence.

STEP NINE

Consideration for time spent on bail

The court must consider whether to give credit for time spent on bail in accordance with section 240A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003.

