Annex A

Handling stolen goods

Theft Act 1968 (section 22)

Triable either way

Maximum: 14 years' custody

Offence range: Discharge - 8 years' custody

STEP ONE

Determining the offence category

The court should determine the offence category with reference **only** to the factors identified in the tables below. In order to determine the category the court should assess **culpability** and **harm**.

The level of culpability is determined by weighing up all the factors of the case to determine the offender's role and the extent to which the offending was **planned** and the **sophistication** with which it was carried out.

CULPABILITY demonstrated by one or more of the following:

A - High culpability:

- A leading role where offending is part of a group activity
- Involvement of others through coercion, intimidation or exploitation
- Abuse of position of power or trust or responsibility
- Professional and sophisticated offence
- Advance knowledge of the primary offence
- Possession of very recently stolen goods from a domestic burglary or robbery,

B - Medium culpability:

- Other cases where characteristics for categories A or C are not present
- A significant role where offending is part of a group activity
- Offender acquires goods for resale
- Some degree of planning involved

C - Lesser culpability:

- Performed limited function under direction
- Involved through coercion, intimidation or exploitation
- Little or no planning/sophistication
- Limited awareness or understanding of offence
- Goods acquired for offender's own personal use

Deleted: <#>¶

aoods

<#>Closeness in time or place to the underlying offence ¶
<#>The provision in advance of the underlying offence of a safe haven or the means of disposal of stolen property¶

Deleted: Advance knowledge that the stolen goods were to come from a domestic burglary or a robbery¶

Possession of recently stolen

Deleted: <#>Possession of recently stolen goods¶

characteristics for categories A or C are not present¶

Where there are characteristics present which fall under different levels of culpability, the court should balance these characteristics to reach a fair assessment of the offender's culpability.

The handling of stolen goods is ancillary to other offences, often to a serious underlying offence

Harm is assessed by reference to the **financial value** (to the loser) of the stolen goods **and any significant additional harm** associated with the underlying offence on the victim or others – examples of additional harm may include but are not limited to:

Property stolen from a domestic burglary or a robbery (unless this has already been taken into account in assessing culpability)

Items stolen <u>were of substantial (non financial) value</u>, economic, sentimental or personal to the <u>owner</u>

Metal theft causing disruption to infrastructure

Damage to heritage assets

Items stolen which may endanger life

HARM			
Category 1	Very high value goods stolen (above £100,000) or		
	High value with significant additional harm to the victim or others		
Category 2	High value goods stolen (£10,000 to £100,000) and no significant additional harm or		
	Medium value with significant additional harm to the victim or others		
Category 3	Medium value goods stolen (£1000 to £10,000) and no significant additional harm		
	or Low value with significant additional harm to the victim or others		
Category 4	Low value goods stolen (up to £1000) and		
	Little or no significant additional harm to the victim or others		

Deleted: an
Deleted: value

STEP TWO Starting point and category range

Having determined the category at step one, the court should use the starting point to reach a sentence within the appropriate category range in the table below.

The starting point applies to all offenders irrespective of plea or previous convictions.

Harm	Culpability			
	A	В	С	
Category 1 Where the value greatly exceeds £100,000, it may	Starting point 5 years' custody	Starting point 2 years' 6 months custody	Starting point 1 years' custody	
be appropriate to move outside the identified range. Adjustment should be made for any significant additional harm where very high value stolen goods are handled.	Category range 3-8 years' custody	Category range 1-4 years' custody	Category range 12 weeks custody- 1 year 6 months custody	
Category 2	Starting point 3 years' custody	Starting point 1 years' custody	Starting point High level community order	
	Category range 1 year 6 months -4 years' custody	Category range 26 weeks-1 year 6 months custody	Category range Low level community order- 26 weeks custody	
Category 3	Starting point 1 years' custody	Starting point High level community order	Starting point Band C fine	
	Category range 36 weeks'-2 years' custody	Category range Low level community order- 36 weeks custody	Category range Band B fine –Low level community order	
Category 4	Starting point High level community order	Starting point Low level community order	Starting point Band B fine	
	Category range Medium level community order – 36 weeks custody	Category range Band C fine –High level community order	Category range Discharge –Band C fine	

Annex A 4

Deleted: stolen

Consecutive sentences for multiple offences may be appropriate- please refer to the Offences Taken Into Consideration and Totality Definitive Guideline.

The court should then consider further adjustment for any aggravating or mitigating factors. The following is a **non-exhaustive** list of additional factual elements providing the context of the offence and factors relating to the offender. Identify whether any combination of these, or other relevant factors, should result in an upward or downward adjustment from the starting point.

Factors increasing seriousness

Statutory aggravating factors:

- Previous convictions, having regard to a) the nature of the offence to which
 the conviction relates and its relevance to the current offence; and b) the time
 that has elapsed since the conviction
- Offence committed whilst on bail

Other aggravating factors:

- Seriousness of the underlying offence, for example, armed robbery
- Deliberate destruction, disposal or defacing of stolen property
- Damage to third party for example, loss of employment to legitimate employees
- Failure to comply with current court orders
- Offence committed on licence
- Offences taken into consideration
- Established evidence of community/wider impact

Factors reducing seriousness or reflecting personal mitigation

- No previous convictions or no relevant/recent convictions
- Good character and/or exemplary conduct
- Serious medical conditions requiring urgent, intensive or long-term treatment
- Age and/or lack of maturity where it affects the responsibility of the offender
- Mental disorder or learning disability
- Sole or primary carer for dependent relatives
- Determination and/or demonstration of steps having been taken to address addiction or offending behaviour

STEP THREE

Consider any factors which indicate a reduction, such as assistance to the prosecution

The court should take into account sections 73 and 74 of the Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 2005 (assistance by defendants: reduction or review of sentence) and any other rule of law by virtue of which an offender may receive a discounted sentence in consequence of assistance given (or offered) to the prosecutor or investigator.

STEP FOUR

Reduction for quilty pleas

The court should take account of any potential reduction for a guilty plea in accordance with section 144 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 and the Guilty Plea guideline.

STEP FIVE

Totality principle

If sentencing an offender for more than one offence, or where the offender is already serving a sentence, consider whether the total sentence is just and proportionate to the overall offending behaviour.

STEP SIX

Confiscation, compensation and ancillary orders

The court must proceed with a view to making a confiscation order if it is asked to do so by the prosecutor or if the court believes it is appropriate for it to do so.

Where the offence has resulted in loss or damage the court must consider whether to make a compensation order.

If the court makes both a confiscation order and an order for compensation and the court believes the offender will not have sufficient means to satisfy both orders in full, the court must direct that the compensation be paid out of sums recovered under the confiscation order (section 13 of the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002).

The court may also consider whether to make ancillary orders. These may include a deprivation order, or a restitution order.

STEP SEVEN

Reasons

Section 174 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 imposes a duty to give reasons for, and explain the effect of, the sentence.

STEP EIGHT

Consideration for time spent on bail

The court must consider whether to give credit for time spent on bail in accordance with section 240A of the Criminal Justice Act 2003.